

School Improvement Plan - 2011-2012

Generated on June 28, 2011 at 12:11 PM

Lawrenceburg High School (1177)

Lawrenceburg Com School Corp

Lawrenceburg, IN

This plan will be revised annually. Updates may be found each June at <http://www.asainstitute.org/publicsip>. The plan was developed with assistance from the:



Indiana Student Achievement Institute
931 25th Street
Columbus, IN 47201
Phone: 812-669-0009
Email: asai@asainstitute.org
Website: <http://www.asainstitute.org>

Table of Contents

- School Improvement Plan Introduction 3
- Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan? 4
- Vision Statement 6
- Academic Goals 8
- Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern 10
- Strategies 12
- Strategy Data 14
- To-Do List 18
- Professional Development Summary 23
- Relationship Report: Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals 27
- Force Field Excerpt 30
- Continuous Improvement Timeline 31

School Improvement Plan Introduction

Lawrenceburg High School

This school improvement plan was developed using a vision-based and data-driven process called *Vision-to-Action* that was developed by the Indiana Student Achievement Institute. In addition to containing the strategies our school and community identified as key to raising student achievement and closing achievement gaps in our school, this plan contains strategies that meet the expectations of:

- Indiana Student Achievement Institute
- Indiana Rules and Regulations

Participation

The following people were involved in developing this School Improvement Plan

- Teachers
- Students
- Parent representatives
- Community representatives

Process

Those involved in developing this School Improvement Plan participated in a series of discussions related to the *Vision-to-Action* steps:

1. Establish a local culture of high expectations
2. Create a common vision for student success
3. Analyze achievement data and set realistic data targets for each of the next three years
4. Analyze force field data and identify concerns within the following areas.
 - Academic expectations
 - Curriculum content
 - Instructional practices
 - Classroom assessment
 - Extra help
 - Student guidance
 - Learning environment
5. Research strategies to address our concerns and select high-leverage strategies to implement
6. Develop a to-do list for each strategy

Using Data for Decision-Making

The Vision-to-Action process relies heavily on data as a foundation for decision-making.

1. Achievement Data – To what degree are students prepared for success at the next educational level, and ultimately for success in postsecondary education and a global economy?
2. Force Field Data – What conditions in the school and community interfere with high student achievement?
3. Strategy Data – Is the strategy having the desired impact on adults and students?

Implementation Next Year

Our work next year will be guided by the to-do list that we have developed for each strategy.

Questions about the School Improvement Plan should be directed to the building principal.

Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan?

Many individuals were involved in the creation of this school improvement plan.

Groups and Roles

The **Steering Team** is comprised of educators, a parent, and a business representative. The Steering Team's role is to help the Full Faculty and Community Council 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Full Faculty** is made up of all educators. The role of the Full Faculty is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Community Council for decisions related to school improvement.

The **Community Council** is comprised of parents and representatives of community stakeholder groups. All parents were invited to be part of the Community Council and any interested parent was welcome to join the Council. The role of the Community Council is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Full Faculty in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Student Body** includes every student enrolled in the school. The role of the Student Body is to 1) review data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) provide input for the Full Faculty and Community Council.

Discussion Topics

The Full Faculty, Community Council and Student Body participated in guided discussions about the following topics. Written summaries of all discussions were developed and are on file at the school.

- Discussion 1: Global Economics
- Discussion 2: Vision for Student Success
- Discussion 3: Achievement Data Analysis and Achievement Goals
- Discussion 4: Force Field Data Analysis (student needs) and Areas of Concern
- Discussion 5: Strategy Selection

Steering Team

- - Parent/Guardian
- -
- LeAnn Ambs - School Counselor
- Sheila Chrisman - Parent/Guardian
- Gayle Lightner - Teacher
- Pete Resnick - Community
- Bill Snyder - Administrator
- Melissa Tedesco - Teacher

Strategy Chairs

- Pete Arnold
- Mark Fette
- Rita Kirchgassner
- Jared Leiker
- Shawn Lightner
- Brandon Lorton
- Bill Snyder
- Pat Sutton
- Heather Weston

Community Council

- Ramona Bezold - Parent
- Charles Blankenship - Business
- Tami Bovard - Business
- Barb Branigan - Parent
- Sheila Chrisman - Parent
- Travis Chrisman - Business
- Rick Clark - Parent
- Richard Craig - Business
- Karen Craven - Parent
- Betty Fulton - Community Agent
- Mike Kramer - Business
- Pat Krider - Business
- Sheila Murray - Business
- Mark Neff - Community Agent
- Philip Nicolai - Parent
- Kim Niewedde - Parent
- Terri Patterson - Parent
- Pete Resnick - Business
- Mike Rozow - Business
- Brad Rupel - Parent
- Pam Taylor - Parent
- Kelly Will - Business
- Antonia Wyatt - Community Agent/Parent

Vision Statement

The Vision Statement was developed by all educators, all students, and members of the community and is reviewed and updated annually. The foundation of the statement identifies the core convictions upon which this school improvement plan is built and answers the question, "What do our students deserve in order to be successful learners, responsible citizens, and productive members of a global economy?" The second part of the vision statement describes ideal adults who are striving to provide students with the things they deserve in order to achieve at a rigorous level. The third part of the vision statement describes ideal students in a school and community where adults live by their convictions. The last part of the vision statement includes ideal data that represent the community's dreams. This lofty data serves as the focal point for the remainder of the school improvement plan and requires the school and community to work toward every student succeeding at a high level.

We believe all students deserve:

Lawrenceburg High School understands the importance of changing the current culture of education. Through core convictions of parents, community members, students, teachers, and administration certain behaviors and attitudes can be changed to foster a superior learning environment. We believe all students deserve to master core skills and knowledge necessary for post-secondary education. This concept promotes high expectations that students will be challenged, encouraged, respected, and engaged. Students deserve a curriculum that stresses problem-solving skills, coping strategies, and diversity to meet their needs in order to be productive citizens in the future.

Proper instruction by motivated, professional, and knowledgeable teachers who emphasize differentiated instruction is a must in the area of school improvement. The students deserve a culture of accountability. Further, technology and its advancements must be an integral tool of curriculum students need to be exposed to on a daily basis. They also deserve to have a voice in the decision-making process promoting independence, self-expression, and empowerment.

Students deserve additional assistance by adult mentors who demonstrate positive traits to help students develop plans and gain opportunities to learn work, social and moral skills and ethics for successful lifelong productive living.

Students deserve guidance that will lead them through the educational process of a four-year plan directed toward quality preparation for advanced education. The process will be developed and reviewed on an annual basis. Through guidance, we can provide experiences in community involvement and resources to aid students in valuing their community, stressing service and volunteering as ways to work in groups, help others, and learn about adult life.

The environment of a school is essential to overall achievement. Students deserve a safe, disciplined, drug free, positive environment. Teachers must provide encouragement and recognition for positive behavior and academic success. Students deserve to be cared about and treated as individuals by teachers who value students as well as the educational process. Administrators need to have vision and goals. As a result, students gain equal opportunities for proper education, a sense of being valued, and an environment of high expectations. Students and parents contribute to this environment by having students prepared to learn each and every day.

All adults in our community are living by these core convictions daily and as a result, show the following attitudes and actions:

Each teacher, counselor, administrator, parent, and community member has high expectations of all students. These stakeholders work together to help students reach high expectations by communicating with each other, behaving as positive role models, and setting proper examples. All adults demonstrate respect, responsibility, honesty, trust, integrity, and foster attitudes conducive to a true learning environment.

All stakeholders must promote a lifelong learning culture by communicating more in home regarding family decisions, issues, and supporting school activities and programs. While at school, teachers will extend the adult conversation to topics of accountability, potential, self-control, and openness to change and diversity. The community and the school should be unified to model these behaviors. Adults must have a passion about education and seek to be optimistic and professional in their daily involvement with young impressionable minds. Adult consistency, patience, profession-pride, and compassion create support conducive for successful students.

In this environment where all adults are living by their core convictions, all students:

have high expectations of themselves. Attend school on time every day and are motivated, ready to learn, organized, and accountable. Students will be respectful of others and demonstrate integrity by being honest, trustworthy, polite, and mature. Students need to have substance free minds for enthusiasm toward education. Successful students work to develop self-control, perceptiveness, and self-awareness.

As a result of these efforts, our school's student achievement data is as follows:

- % of students who graduate from high school: 100%
- % of students who pass ECA Algebra 1: 100%
- % of students who pass ECA English 10: 100%

- % of students who earn a Core 40 diploma: 60%
- % of students who earn an Academic Honor Diploma: 40%
- % of students who take the SAT will score 500 or higher in each subject: 100%
- % of students who take the ACT will score 21 or higher in each subject: 100%
- % of students who who take an AP test and score a 3 or higher: 100%
- % of students who pass the ECA Algebra 2 test: 100%
- % of students who pass the Biology 1 ECA test: 100%
- % of students who earn 12 college credit hours while in high school: 100%

Academic Goals

The academic goals were established after a thorough analysis of multiple achievement data assessments including the state math assessment, state English / language arts assessment, student mastery of each Indiana Academic Standard, and report card grades. A full list of academic assessments is found in the "Force Field Excerpts" section of this plan. Other types of data are discussed in the Needs Assessment section of this plan.

The Full Faculty, Community Council (including parent representatives), and Student Body were involved in the analysis of the achievement data. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- How does this data compare to our vision (ideal) data?
- What specific data fields disturb us?
- What specific data fields please us?
- Where do we observe achievement gaps?

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) first identified a small number of broad academic areas on which the school and community agreed to focus their energy next year. Then, the teachers and Community Council members "drilled down" the data within those areas and identified specific courses or academic standards that, if improved, would impact the broader academic areas. Both the broad academic areas and the specific academic areas are included as goals below. Data targets that the educators and community members felt most strongly about were then provided to the students for their input. Finally, the educators and community members agreed to numerical goals that the school would strive to reach by the end of next school year. These goals fall between the current student data and the vision (ideal) data.

When reading the data tables below, please note that the title of each table includes:

- The group of students targeted to meet the goal
- The benchmark that those students will reach

The numbers in the tables reflect baseline data for past years and the target data for future years. The "vision data" represents our ideal data. It is the mission of our school to work toward this ideal.

All graduates - taking a dual credit course

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	27%	32%	29%	34%		39%		44%		49%		100%

All graduates taking a dual credit course - earn a "C" or better

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	94%	100%	100%	100%		100%		100%		100%		100%

All graduates who take the ACT - Score at or above 21 composite

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	56%	56%	39%	61%		66%		71%		76%		100%

All graduates who take the SAT - Score at or above 1500 composite

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	33%	33%	37%	42%		47%		52%		58%		100%

All males - Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	64%	64%	54%	69%		74%		79%		84%		100%

All special ed and/or free/reduced males - pass Algebra 1 ECA

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	17%	17%	44%	49%		54%		59%		64%		100%

All students tested on Algebra 1 ECA - Master linear and quadratic equations

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	31%	31%	48%	53%		58%		63%		68%		100%

Class of 2013 males - On target for Academic Honor Diploma

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	22%	22%	27%	32%		37%		42%		47%		40%

Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern

The Areas of Concern were established after a comprehensive needs assessment that included a survey of all educators, all students, parents and community members. Survey data for students and parents were disaggregated by ethnicity and economic level. The needs assessment also included a collection of data that reflected specific student needs (e.g. attendance rate), and a summary of discussion responses to inquiry questions about areas that might be interfering with learning in the school and community. The collection of data provided information about the school's expectations for student achievement, curriculum, instruction, classroom assessment, extra help, student guidance and the learning environment.

All educators and the Community Council (including parent representatives) were involved in the analysis of the student needs data. Specific attention was given to areas within the classroom that are interfering with learning. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement in our targeted academic areas for improvement?
- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement for the student group we have targeted for improvement?

The student body was asked to respond to an open ended question, "What is interfering with learning at our school?"

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council identified key areas that concerned them because they were interfering with students' ability to reach the established academic goals and more specifically, were interfering with the achievement of the targeted student group. The Full Faculty and Community Council agreed to address these "Areas of Concern" through targeted strategies next year.

The student needs assessment will be conducted again in the future to analyze the impact of the strategies included in this plan.

The Areas of Concern identified by our Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) are listed below.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... student's personal problems and behaviors of other students interfere with learning.

75% of the faculty believes this to be true. 35% of students and 13% of parents agree. 57% of students had discipline referrals.

We are concerned that... students are not actively engaged in their own learning.

We are concerned that... there is not effective communication between, students, parents, and teachers.

22% of faculty and 31% of community believe parents and students talk about school each week. 72% of student and 98% of parents say they talk to each other weekly about school. 33% of students and 24% of parents feel they communicate regularly with teachers. 74% of teachers believe they communicate regularly with parents.

Required Areas of Concern

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

I. Focused Academic Area

U. Focused Student Group

X. Graduation Plan

Strategies

Strategies were established after identifying 1) Achievement Goals (see the Achievement Goals section), and 2) Areas of Concern (see the Needs Assessment - Areas of Concern section). Educators researched possible strategies for addressing each Area of Concern and then presented possible strategies to Full Faculty and Community Council for their consideration. The student body was provided with a list of Areas of Concern and asked to provide their input regarding strategies the school and community could employ to address the concerns. Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parent representatives) agreed to several strategies to implement next year.

Strategy Data: Strategy data will help the Full Faculty and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the success of the strategies in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables can be found in the Strategy Data section of this plan.

Strategy To-Do Lists: Each strategy has been assigned a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. The strategy to-do lists can be found in the Strategy To-Do List section of this plan.

The strategy titles and descriptions are listed below:

Local Strategies

Communication Forums

Open meetings (general and targeted) will be held monthly at various time, ie. breakfast, lunch, and evening meetings, to invite parents, students, teachers, administrators, and community members to address issues of school improvement

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: General

Communication Outreach

Information developed at community forums will be disseminated by the school monthly to all parents, community members, faculty and students through various media

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: General

Differentiated Instruction

All teachers will use differentiated instruction at least once per week.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Interactive Technology

All teachers in all disciplines will use interactive technology in the classroom at least one time per week. The various technologies will include: smart boards, clickers for student responses scanner/digital recorder, and the air writer. Professional development will be provided for teachers on how to most effectively use this technology in the classroom.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Mentor Program

Teachers will serve as advisers to incoming freshmen who will also be assigned a junior mentor. These groups will meet bi-weekly during homeroom time.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

Project-Based Learning

All teachers will use project-based learning in the classroom at least 1 time each 9 weeks.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Structured Job Shadowing Experiences

All students in grades 9-12 will complete at least one structured job shadow experience each year. This will be coordinated through the Human Resources Department at Dearborn County Hospital then will be expanded to include other businesses in our area. Students will spend one full day each school year shadowing a job of their choice.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

Required Strategies

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Early College Program

Implementation of the Early College Program will begin in the fall of 2011-2012. An Assistant Dean of Instruction is being hired by Vincennes University to work with LHS administration, teachers, students, and parents. College courses will be available for all grade levels.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas

Teachers in core subjects will focus more on in-depth content, "depth vs breadth" in the classroom on a daily basis. This will be based on best practices that will be researched in each discipline. Teachers will build curriculum based on classroom assessments to determine gaps in mastery.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

I. Focused Academic Area: Math Lab

All students who did not pass the Algebra I ECA will be in a mandatory math lab class in addition to their regular math course during the first semester. The math teachers will focus on mastery of Algebra I skills.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

U. Focused Student Group: After-School Tutoring and Study Skills

Teachers are available after-school in central area to help students individually or in small groups with homework and study skills every Monday through Thursday until 4:30 pm. Snacks are provided for students. This is available to all students but is particularly focused on helping all males earn at least a Core 40 diploma.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

X. Graduation Plan

Counselors will work with students to complete and update the graduation plan each fall. The Graduation Plan will include the subject and skill areas of interest to the student, a Core 40 program of study that meets the student's aptitudes and interests, and an indication of assessments (other than ISTEP and ECA) that the student plans to take voluntarily during grades 10 through 12. Upon satisfactory fulfillment of the plan, students will be able to 1) graduate, 2) will have taken at least the minimum variety and number of courses necessary to gain admittance to a state educational institution.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Strategy Data

Strategy data will help the teachers and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the strategy's success in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables below indicate 1) the group of adults or students that are expected to change as a result of this strategy, 2) the desired practice(s), and 3) what the school will count to determine the degree to which the practice(s) changed.

Local Strategies

Communication Outreach

% of consistent and thorough dissemination of community forum information

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Differentiated Instruction

The % of teachers who submit one lesson plan per week that shows differentiated instruction.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Interactive Technology

The percentage of teachers who use interactive technology in their lessons, each week, through teacher surveys.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Mentor Program

The % of certified staff who do mentoring sessions with freshmen each month.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

The % of students who provide positive support to under-classmen

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

The % of students who do not receive office referrals while not in the mentoring program.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	90		90	

The % of students who receive office referrals while in the mentoring program.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	5		95	

Project-Based Learning

The percentage of teachers who implement a project-based unit at least once every nine weeks grading period, through collection of student project work.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	100		100	

Required Strategies

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Early College Program

% of teachers who make early adjustments to the Early College curriculum transition

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	50		100	

Number of first generation college students who received college credit while in high school

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	100		100	

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas

The % of teacher who focus on in-depth content on a daily basis

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	100		100	

I. Focused Academic Area: Math Lab

Percent of teachers who work directly with Algebra I students in math lab.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
10	10		10	

% of students that spend extra time with Algebra on a regular basis with a certified math teacher

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

U. Focused Student Group: After-School Tutoring and Study SKills

The number of referrals per teacher.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	10		5	

The number of requests of student progress made by teachers who referred students.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	100		100	

To-Do List

After the strategies were selected for next year, each strategy was assigned to a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. At the beginning of next year, each strategy chair will go over their strategy's "To-Do" list with the entire faculty. Throughout the year, each strategy chair will check off each strategy activity as it is completed. At the end of the first semester, each strategy chair will present the percentage of completed activities to the Full Faculty and Community Council. If the strategy activities were not fully implemented, the chair will facilitate a discussion about changes that will occur during the second semester to ensure that all activities are completed.

Report by strategy. Showing completed and yet-to-be-completed action steps.

Communication Forums

Sep 20, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Oct 18, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Nov 15, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Dec 20, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Jan 17, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Feb 21, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Mar 20, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Apr 17, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Brandon Lorton

Communication Outreach

Sep 5, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Oct 17, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Nov 28, 2011: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of consistent and thorough dissemination of community forum information **Person:** Pete Arnold

Jan 9, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Feb 20, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Apr 2, 2012: Set up room, invite participants, buy supplies as needed, organize handouts as needed, contact superintendent, develop agenda **Person:** Pete Arnold

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of consistent and thorough dissemination of community forum information **Person:** Pete Arnold

Differentiated Instruction

Sep 10, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Sep 17, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Sep 24, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Oct 1, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Oct 8, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Oct 15, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Oct 22, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Oct 29, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Nov 5, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Nov 12, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Nov 19, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Nov 26, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of teachers who submit one lesson plan per week that shows differentiated instruction. **Person:** Heather Weston

Dec 3, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Dec 10, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Dec 17, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Dec 24, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Dec 31, 2011: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Jan 7, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Jan 14, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Jan 21, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Jan 28, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Feb 4, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Feb 11, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Feb 18, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Feb 25, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Mar 3, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Mar 10, 2012: Weekly meetings to discuss differentiated instruction, walk through by admin, feedback on walk through forms, information/resources/speakers on instruction **Person:** Heather Weston

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of teachers who submit one lesson plan per week that shows differentiated instruction. **Person:** Heather Weston

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

Apr 1, 2011: Collect baseline data: % of teachers who make early adjustments to the Early College curriculum transition **Person:** Bill Snyder

Apr 1, 2011: Collect baseline data: Number of first generation college students who received college credit while in high school **Person:** Bill Snyder

Jul 10, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder

Jul 17, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder

Jul 24, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder

Jul 31, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Aug 7, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Aug 14, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Aug 21, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Aug 28, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 1, 2011: Meetings **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 4, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 11, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 18, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 25, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Sep 28, 2011: agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Heather Weston
Oct 2, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Oct 9, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Oct 16, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Oct 23, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Oct 30, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 3, 2011: Meetings **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 6, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 13, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 20, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 27, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 30, 2011: agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Heather Weston
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who make early adjustments to the Early College curriculum transition **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Number of first generation college students who received college credit while in high school **Person:** Bill Snyder
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of teacher who focus on in-depth content on a daily basis **Person:** Heather Weston
Dec 4, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Dec 11, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Dec 18, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Dec 25, 2011: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 1, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 5, 2012: Meetings **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 8, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 15, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 22, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jan 29, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Feb 1, 2012: agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Heather Weston
Feb 5, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Feb 12, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Feb 19, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Feb 26, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Mar 4, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Mar 8, 2012: Meetings **Person:** Bill Snyder
Mar 11, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Mar 18, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Mar 25, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Apr 1, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Apr 4, 2012: agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Heather Weston
Apr 8, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Apr 15, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Apr 22, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Apr 29, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
May 6, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
May 13, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
May 20, 2012: LHS/VU Collaboration **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who make early adjustments to the Early College curriculum transition **Person:** Bill Snyder
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Number of first generation college students who received college credit while in high school **Person:** Bill Snyder

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of teacher who focus on in-depth content on a daily basis **Person:** Heather Weston

Focused Academic Area

Oct 1, 2011: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Mark Fette

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students that spend extra time with Algebra on a regular basis with a certified math teacher **Person:** Mark Fette

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of teachers who work directly with Algebra I students in math lab. **Person:** Mark Fette

Dec 3, 2011: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Mark Fette

Feb 4, 2012: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Mark Fette

Apr 7, 2012: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Mark Fette

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students that spend extra time with Algebra on a regular basis with a certified math teacher **Person:** Mark Fette

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of teachers who work directly with Algebra I students in math lab. **Person:** Mark Fette

Focused Student Group

Sep 1, 2011: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 3, 2011: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The number of referrals per teacher. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The number of requests of student progress made by teachers who referred students. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jan 5, 2012: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Mar 8, 2012: Agenda, set up time and location, supplies **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The number of referrals per teacher. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The number of requests of student progress made by teachers who referred students. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Interactive Technology

Aug 20, 2011: Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom **Person:** Jared Leiker

Oct 22, 2011: Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom **Person:** Jared Leiker

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The percentage of teachers who use interactive technology in their lessons, each week, through teacher surveys. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Dec 24, 2011: Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom **Person:** Jared Leiker

Feb 25, 2012: Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom **Person:** Jared Leiker

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The percentage of teachers who use interactive technology in their lessons, each week, through teacher surveys. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Mentor Program

Aug 15, 2011: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Sep 1, 2011: Inservice Training **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Sep 19, 2011: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Oct 17, 2011: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 3, 2011: Inservice Training **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 21, 2011: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of certified staff who do mentoring sessions with freshmen each month. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of students who do not receive office referrals while not in the mentoring program. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of students who provide positive support to under-classmen **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of students who receive office referrals while in the mentoring program. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Dec 19, 2011: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jan 5, 2012: Inservice Training **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jan 16, 2012: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Feb 20, 2012: Teacher mentoring **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Mar 8, 2012: Inservice Training **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of certified staff who do mentoring sessions with freshmen each month. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of students who do not receive office referrals while not in the mentoring program. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of students who provide positive support to under-classmen **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of students who receive office referrals while in the mentoring program. **Person:** Shawn Lightner

Project-Based Learning

Sep 1, 2011: A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks on PBL. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Sep 1, 2011: A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Nov 3, 2011: A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The percentage of teachers who implement a project-based unit at least once every nine weeks grading period, through collection of student project work. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Jan 5, 2012: A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Mar 8, 2012: A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The percentage of teachers who implement a project-based unit at least once every nine weeks grading period, through collection of student project work. **Person:** Jared Leiker

Structured Job Shadowing Experiences

Sep 20, 2011: Agenda, set up location, time, get supplies **Person:** Pat Sutton

Nov 22, 2011: Agenda, set up location, time, get supplies **Person:** Pat Sutton

Jan 24, 2012: Agenda, set up location, time, get supplies **Person:** Pat Sutton

Mar 27, 2012: Agenda, set up location, time, get supplies **Person:** Pat Sutton

Professional Development Summary

Alignment with the School Improvement Plan: The Professional Development Plan is directly aligned with strategies found in the School Improvement Plan. Professional development activities enhance educator's knowledge within one or more of the following areas: a) expectations, b) curriculum content, c) classroom instruction, d) classroom assessment, e) extra help, f) guidance, and g) the learning environment. The amount of funding allocated for each professional development activity and the funding source is listed for each professional development activity.

Effective Learning Process: The Professional Development Plan was written by teacher-leaders and includes activities that will help teachers develop the knowledge and skills needed to successfully implement the strategies listed in the School Improvement Plan. The plan includes a variety of formats for professional development. Activities have been embedded in the school day as appropriate. The plan also includes activities designed to provide teachers with feedback over time. Finally, "strategy data" is collected for comprehensive strategies that identifies the degree to which the strategy has brought about a positive changes in adult practices.

Professional Growth Environment: Each strategy is supported by a "strategy chair." Strategy chairs are responsible for 1) writing (with support from colleagues) a strategy plan including on-going professional development activities, anti-resistance, and evaluation activities, 2) providing on-going mentoring to their colleagues in the implementation of the strategy, 3) analyzing the effectiveness of the strategy, and 4) making a recommendation to the faculty with respect to whether or not the strategy should be sustained.

Communication Forums

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Communication Outreach

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Differentiated Instruction

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Interactive Technology

Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom

Brief Description: Profession Dev. on new Smart board Tech. in each classroom

Intended Participants: Teachers, Administrators, Students

Dates: Aug 20, 2011; Oct 22, 2011; Dec 24, 2011; Feb 25, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Collaborative Problem Solving, Site Visit

Funding: CPF

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Mentor Program

Inservice Training

Brief Description: Community health personnel will give inservice training, resources, financial aid, and other pertinent information needed.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators, Parents, Community Members, Students

Dates: Sep 1, 2011; Nov 3, 2011; Jan 5, 2012; Mar 8, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: Community Health Grant

Does this activity occur during the school day? No

Teacher mentoring

Brief Description: Professional development to train teacher mentors to work with students.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators, Parents, Community Members, Students

Dates: Aug 15, 2011; Sep 19, 2011; Oct 17, 2011; Nov 21, 2011; Dec 19, 2011; Jan 16, 2012; Feb 20, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: Community Health Grant

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Project-Based Learning

A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks on PBL.

Brief Description: A coach will give an in-service based on the topic of discussion/application at the time.

Intended Participants: Teachers

Date: Sep 1, 2011

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: Grant

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

A Coach will give in-service each nine weeks.

Brief Description: A coach will give an in-service based on the topic of discussion/application at the time.

Intended Participants: Teachers

Dates: Sep 1, 2011; Nov 3, 2011; Jan 5, 2012; Mar 8, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: In House

Does this activity occur during the school day? No

Structured Job Shadowing Experiences

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Early College Program

LHS/VU Collaboration

Brief Description: Weekly collaboration with VU Assistant Dean of Students and LHS personnel.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators, Parents, Community Members, Students

Dates: Jul 10, 2011; Jul 17, 2011; Jul 24, 2011; Jul 31, 2011; Aug 7, 2011; Aug 14, 2011; Aug 21, 2011; Aug 28, 2011; Sep 4, 2011; Sep 11, 2011; Sep 18, 2011; Sep 25, 2011; Oct 2, 2011; Oct 9, 2011; Oct 16, 2011; Oct 23, 2011; Oct 30, 2011; Nov 6, 2011; Nov 13, 2011; Nov 20, 2011; Nov 27, 2011; Dec 4, 2011; Dec 11, 2011; Dec 18, 2011; Dec 25, 2011; Jan 1, 2012; Jan 8, 2012; Jan 15, 2012; Jan 22, 2012; Jan 29, 2012; Feb 5, 2012; Feb 12, 2012; Feb 19, 2012; Feb 26, 2012; Mar 4, 2012; Mar 11, 2012; Mar 18, 2012; Mar 25, 2012; Apr 1, 2012; Apr 8, 2012; Apr 15, 2012; Apr 22, 2012; Apr 29, 2012; May 6, 2012; May 13, 2012; May 20, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Professional Reading, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: Vincennes University

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Meetings

Brief Description: Continue working with Asst. Dean of Students and the coordination with Vincennes University.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators, Students

Dates: Sep 1, 2011; Nov 3, 2011; Jan 5, 2012; Mar 8, 2012

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching, Collaborative Problem Solving, Action Research, Site Visit

Funding: Capital Projects Fund

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

I. Focused Academic Area: Math Lab

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

U. Focused Student Group: After-School Tutoring and Study Skills

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Relationship Report:

Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals

This report shows the relationship between the Areas of Concern, Strategies, and Achievement Goals. For each Area of Concern, the report shows 1) the strategies that will enable the school to successfully address the concern and 2) the achievement goals that will be positively impacted when the concern is successfully addressed.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... student's personal problems and behaviors of other students interfere with learning.

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All special ed and/or free/reduced males -- pass Algebra 1 ECA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Communication Forums
- Communication Outreach
- Mentor Program

We are concerned that... students are not actively engaged in their own learning.

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All males -- Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher
- All special ed and/or free/reduced males -- pass Algebra 1 ECA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Communication Forums
- Differentiated Instruction
- Interactive Technology
- Project-Based Learning
- Structured Job Shadowing Experiences
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Early College Program
- I. Focused Academic Area: Math Lab
- U. Focused Student Group: After-School Tutoring and Study SKills
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... there is not effective communication between, students, parents, and teachers.

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All males -- Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher
- All students tested on Algebra 1 ECA -- Master linear and quadratic equations

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Communication Forums
- Communication Outreach

Required Areas of Concern

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All graduates -- taking a dual credit course
- All graduates taking a dual credit course -- earn a "C" or better
- All graduates who take the ACT -- Score at or above 21 composite
- All graduates who take the SAT -- Score at or above 1500 composite
- All males -- Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher
- Class of 2013 males -- On target for Academic Honor Diploma

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Communication Forums
- Communication Outreach
- Differentiated Instruction
- Mentor Program
- Structured Job Shadowing Experiences
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Early College Program
- X. Graduation Plan

I. Focused Academic Area (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All special ed and/or free/reduced males -- pass Algebra 1 ECA
- All students tested on Algebra 1 ECA -- Master linear and quadratic equations

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Interactive Technology
- Project-Based Learning
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: In-depth content in key areas
- I. Focused Academic Area: Math Lab

U. Focused Student Group (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All males -- Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher
- Class of 2013 males -- On target for Academic Honor Diploma

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Communication Forums
- Communication Outreach
- Interactive Technology
- Project-Based Learning
- Structured Job Shadowing Experiences
- U. Focused Student Group: After-School Tutoring and Study SKills

X. Graduation Plan (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All males -- Earn a Core 40 diploma or higher
- Class of 2013 males -- On target for Academic Honor Diploma

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Mentor Program
- X. Graduation Plan

Force Field Excerpt

The Force Field reports are lengthy data reports that help the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) identify Areas of Concern (student needs). The Force Field excerpt found below contains information that must be included in the School Improvement Plan to meet various requirements of Public Law 221 and if applicable, Title I Schoolwide.

A. Where is the public copy of your school's curriculum located?	main office
B. What rules or statutes would you like to waive in order to promote student learning? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify the specific statute and and/or rule you wish to waive. ● Identify the educational strategies this waiver would allow you to implement that could not be implemented under current statute and rule. ● Explain the benefit to student achievement. ● Describe the evaluation process that would be used to measure the success of these strategies. 	none
C. Are your school's attendance rates supportive of learning? What activities will you implement next year to increase or maintain your attendance rate?	yes
D. List the titles of the assessment instruments that are used in addition to ISTEP+ / ECA and give a brief description of each.	PSAT ASVAB

Continuous Improvement Timeline

DISCUSSION TOPIC	FACILITATOR TRAINING (Steering Teams)			FACULTY AND COMMUNITY COUNCIL DISCUSSIONS
	ORIGINAL PLAN	REVIEW & REVISE		
	1st Year Schools	2nd and 3rd Year Schools	Alumni Schools	
New Principal and Steering Team Member Training	n/a	Aug	Aug	n/a
First Year: Rationale + Organizational Structure After First Year: Kick Off / Year End Data Review (Transcripts / ISTEP / ECA)	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 – Aug AM	First 2 weeks of Sept
Vision	Session 2 – Sept	n/a	Session 1 – Aug PM	First 2 weeks of Oct*
Data Targets	Session 3 - Oct	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 – Aug AM	First 2 weeks in Nov
Areas of Concern	Session 4 - Dec	n/a	Session 2 – Dec PM	First 2 weeks in Jan*
Strategy Selection	Session 5 - Jan	Session 2 - Dec	Session 2 – Dec AM	First 2 weeks in Feb
Conference on Learning	Jan	Jan	Jan	
Strategy Selection: Title & Description	Feb	Feb	n/a	First 2 weeks in Mar
Strategy Implementation Plans	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Optional Strategy Chair Training	First week of Apr

* Our school will complete these tasks every three years
We are next scheduled to complete these tasks during the 2012-2013 school year