

School Improvement Plan - 2011-2012

Generated on September 1, 2011 at 12:05 PM

Greendale Middle School (1209)

Lawrenceburg Com School Corp

Lawrenceburg, IN

This plan will be revised annually. Updates may be found each June at <http://www.asainstitute.org/publicsip>. The plan was developed with assistance from the:



Indiana Student Achievement Institute
931 25th Street
Columbus, IN 47201
Phone: 812-669-0009
Email: asai@asainstitute.org
Website: <http://www.asainstitute.org>

Table of Contents

- School Improvement Plan Introduction 3
- Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan? 4
- Vision Statement 6
- Academic Goals 8
- Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern 11
- Strategies 13
- Strategy Data 16
- To-Do List 22
- Professional Development Summary 27
- Relationship Report: Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals 30
- Force Field Excerpt 35
- Continuous Improvement Timeline 36

School Improvement Plan Introduction

Greendale Middle School

This school improvement plan was developed using a vision-based and data-driven process called *Vision-to-Action* that was developed by the Indiana Student Achievement Institute. In addition to containing the strategies our school and community identified as key to raising student achievement and closing achievement gaps in our school, this plan contains strategies that meet the expectations of:

- Indiana Student Achievement Institute
- Indiana Rules and Regulations

Participation

The following people were involved in developing this School Improvement Plan

- Teachers
- Students
- Parent representatives
- Community representatives

Process

Those involved in developing this School Improvement Plan participated in a series of discussions related to the *Vision-to-Action* steps:

1. Establish a local culture of high expectations
2. Create a common vision for student success
3. Analyze achievement data and set realistic data targets for each of the next three years
4. Analyze force field data and identify concerns within the following areas.
 - Academic expectations
 - Curriculum content
 - Instructional practices
 - Classroom assessment
 - Extra help
 - Student guidance
 - Learning environment
5. Research strategies to address our concerns and select high-leverage strategies to implement
6. Develop a to-do list for each strategy

Using Data for Decision-Making

The Vision-to-Action process relies heavily on data as a foundation for decision-making.

1. Achievement Data – To what degree are students prepared for success at the next educational level, and ultimately for success in postsecondary education and a global economy?
2. Force Field Data – What conditions in the school and community interfere with high student achievement?
3. Strategy Data – Is the strategy having the desired impact on adults and students?

Implementation Next Year

Our work next year will be guided by the to-do list that we have developed for each strategy.

Questions about the School Improvement Plan should be directed to the building principal.

Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan?

Many individuals were involved in the creation of this school improvement plan.

Groups and Roles

The **Steering Team** is comprised of educators, a parent, and a business representative. The Steering Team's role is to help the Full Faculty and Community Council 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Full Faculty** is made up of all educators. The role of the Full Faculty is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Community Council for decisions related to school improvement.

The **Community Council** is comprised of parents and representatives of community stakeholder groups. All parents were invited to be part of the Community Council and any interested parent was welcome to join the Council. The role of the Community Council is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Full Faculty in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Student Body** includes every student enrolled in the school. The role of the Student Body is to 1) review data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) provide input for the Full Faculty and Community Council.

Discussion Topics

The Full Faculty, Community Council and Student Body participated in guided discussions about the following topics. Written summaries of all discussions were developed and are on file at the school.

- Discussion 1: Global Economics
- Discussion 2: Vision for Student Success
- Discussion 3: Achievement Data Analysis and Achievement Goals
- Discussion 4: Force Field Data Analysis (student needs) and Areas of Concern
- Discussion 5: Strategy Selection

Steering Team

- Jennifer Elaison - Parent/Guardian
- Bill Harvey - Community Representative (Business)
- Elaine Lockwood - School Counselor
- Mike Pratt - Teacher
- Destiny Rutzel - Teacher
- Kevin Self - Administrator

Strategy Chairs

- Stacie Dishman
- Ryan Knigga
- Staci Knigga
- Elaine Lockwood
- Suzanne Pieczonka
- Destiny Rutzel
- Kevin Self
- Gayle Stafford

Community Council

- Tony Bagshaw - Community
- Faye Brightwell - Parent
- Jennifer Hildebrand - Parent
- Holly Hinds - Business / Parent

- Lorie Howard - Parent
- Steve Karsteter - Community
- Steve Lampert - Government / Parent
- E.G. McLaughlin - Business
- Brian Oyler - Business / Parent
- Camiela Oyler - Parent
- Brandy Rorabacher - Business / Parent
- Eric Sampson - Parent
- Mary Sampson - Parent
- Pat Sutton - Business
- Kathy Thayer - Parent
- Antonia Wyatt - Parent

Vision Statement

The Vision Statement was developed by all educators, all students, and members of the community and is reviewed and updated annually. The foundation of the statement identifies the core convictions upon which this school improvement plan is built and answers the question, "What do our students deserve in order to be successful learners, responsible citizens, and productive members of a global economy?" The second part of the vision statement describes ideal adults who are striving to provide students with the things they deserve in order to achieve at a rigorous level. The third part of the vision statement describes ideal students in a school and community where adults live by their convictions. The last part of the vision statement includes ideal data that represent the community's dreams. This lofty data serves as the focal point for the remainder of the school improvement plan and requires the school and community to work toward every student succeeding at a high level.

We believe all students deserve:

We believe that all students should have an equal opportunity at a high quality, challenging, and meaningful education. There are no limits that should hold a student back from being able to achieve at a high level. The environment should be safe, structured, caring, and orderly for all students so that success can be obtained. Students deserve to have a curriculum based upon the Indiana Academic Standards, teachers who are well-trained, and teachers who teach with compassion and conviction. The standards being taught at a high level by highly trained teachers should stimulate learning in the classroom and motivate our students to do their very best. Students should have the ability to master the Indiana Academic Standards based upon the activities presented by the teachers. Students should be respected and praised for a job well done.

In all aspects of society, respect is of utmost importance. Students should be expected to behave in a way that shows respect to their peers, parents, and teachers. For example, a student who maintains eye contact when talking to an adult, who is dressed appropriately for school and who respects the opinions of others will have better classroom performance and will be more engaged in learning. Students should be attentive in the classroom, participate in classroom discussions, take notes over material presented by teachers, and work with their peers to learn the material. Students should be self-motivated and strive to do their very best at all times. Students should attend school every day so they do not miss out on valuable lessons being taught by teachers. These expectations will allow the students of Greendale Middle School to be confident in their performance, help increase student achievement on standardized tests, and lead this generation to become role models for future students of our school.

Students deserve to be challenged and engaged in all courses, whether they are academic or Encore. The education of the students should be in such a way that education is enjoyable and stimulating for the student. Students deserve to be taught skills and problem solving techniques that will prepare them for activities outside the school. Problem solving, cooperative learning, use of technology, and project-based learning are just a few examples. This quality education that the students deserve should prepare all students for the opportunity to further education beyond high school.

Our expectations of students should be clear and concise at Greendale Middle School. Students should know what is expected of them both academically and behaviorally. Students deserve to have clear and consistent consequences for their behavior, whether positive or negative. Students deserve to have a voice and be active in their education when it comes to rules, expectations, and consequences. Students should be involved in identifying rules that should be followed at school. They should also be involved in creating rubrics for assignments created and conducted in class. They should also be able to identify consequences for various different behavioral issues in the classroom.

Students also deserve to have a support system to assist them if they are having difficulty in a class, issues with other students, or questions about career planning. Students should have parents that respect them, that praise them for a job well done, expect them to attend school on a daily basis, and that guide or discipline them when they are having difficulty academically and behaviorally. Students should be influenced by positive role models, such as teachers and community members that keep them focused on the goals set forth by the school. The support offered to students should be offered to all, regardless of socioeconomic status, race, or sex. Everyone in the community should play a role in ensuring that the students of Greendale Middle School are successful and are adequately prepared to move on in their educational endeavors.

Just as educators have a goal of creating a perfect learning environment, students must do their best work and follow the rules in order to be successful in the school setting. They should know that the adults are making decisions that are for the overall well-being of the students. When students come to class without the necessary materials and with homework unfinished, they should be held accountable by suffering consequences, and not let off because of excuses. Effort is of utmost importance for students to be successful at Greendale Middle School. Students should maintain a positive, considerate, and respectful attitude toward each other and toward the staff of Greendale Middle School. It is important that students are conscientious in their work and attitude.

All adults in our community are living by these core convictions daily and as a result, show the following attitudes and actions:

As educators, we realize that our actions play a significant role in how well students are able to succeed. With this said, students should have educators that are professional in their demeanor, appearance, and in their presentation of classroom material. The educators should be looking at data from all assessments, be it homework, quizzes, tests, or projects, to base the direction of

learning. Data driven decision making is an absolute must in our school. Lessons presented to students in the classroom should be engaging. These include hands-on projects, projects requiring group participation, and application to the real world. Students deserve to have teachers who instruct them on problem solving, social skills, and study habits. These skills are expected to assist students in being prepared for life beyond school. Students deserve to have educators who teach them to communicate in a variety of different ways. Instructions for students should be clear and concise.

Educators should also develop a caring, responsible, and accountable environment where students can be successful. Deadlines should be set and met by educators and students. Students should turn in assignments on schedule and educators should return assignments in a timely manner with reasonable feedback that allows for growth. Educators should have grades updated on STI for feedback and communication with parents. Educators should hold students accountable for their behavior and have clear, concise, and immediate consequences for negative behavior without further reminders or threats. Educators should lead by example in the classroom and hold students to the same standards of behavior and work ethic that they have for themselves. Those expectations should be set at the highest level for all adults. Students cannot be held to any higher standard than what educators hold for themselves.

Educators expect that when students arrive in class each day, they come prepared. This includes bringing pencils, paper, and other educational materials along with completed homework. Students deserve an educator who is equally prepared with an exceptional lesson plan and a variety of different strategies for teaching students the curriculum. Educators should lead by example. Instead of expecting students to absorb the material, teachers should consider themselves academic coaches or mentors who guide students through the daily rigor of the classroom. Creating a high quality learning environment is a must. Educators should also practice the "Golden Rule" of giving respect to all stakeholders and guiding students to follow the same rule. Once again, educators cannot hold students to any higher standards of preparedness and excellence than they have for themselves.

Educators should be caring and responsible towards students. Educators should also be honest and respectful to students. Educators realize that their job does not start at 7:30 a.m. and run till 3:15 p.m, it never ends! Educators will realize that anything they experience outside the classroom can be used later during a teachable moment with students. We will not accept excuses from any stakeholders when it comes to the education of our students.

Parents and community members, the other stakeholders of Greendale Middle School, also play a significant role in the outcome of our students. Parents need to hold their children to the same expectations as educators. Parents should check for completion of homework, expect their child to attend class regularly, and be respectful in all settings. Parental communication with educators should be in how to improve their child's achievement in the classroom. In addition, community members must realize that the work that is being done at Greendale Middle School is benefiting the future of Lawrenceburg. Community members should hold all other stakeholders accountable for preparing students to be successful community members. Community members should assist students in career planning, job opportunities, and work in cooperation with the school to discuss curriculum, data from assessments, and how the community can better serve the school.

In this environment where all adults are living by their core convictions, all students:

If all the stakeholders of Greendale Middle School strive to meet these goals, we expect to see the following improvements. All students (100 %) will pass I-STEP Math and Language Arts. This goal will be achieved when we show over a grade level growth per year in all disaggregated areas of testing using multiple assessments such as Acuity Testing and PLATO. Letter grades of F will not be acceptable. All students taking the end of course assessment in Algebra will pass. Half of our students will be on the A/B honor roll or higher. 70% of our students will complete a 4-year college degree with all students attending some form of post-secondary education. 100% of our students will take some form of foreign language while they are a student at GMS.

With hard work and dedication on the part of all stakeholders at Greendale Middle School, we feel that we can create many opportunities for students to be successful. As stakeholders, we have high expectations for our students to put forth their best efforts academically and behaviorally. We also have high expectations for ourselves as educators, to provide students with a caring, disciplined work environment and a quality education. With students, parents, educators, and community support working together toward these goals, test scores, school culture and students' futures will measurably improve.

As a result of these efforts, our school's student achievement data is as follows:

- % of students who pass I-STEP: 100%
- % of students who receive failing grades: 0%
- % of students who are on A/B Honor Roll: 50%
- % of students who will receive a 4-year college degree: 70%
- % of students who receive some form of post-secondary education: 100%
- % of students who take some form of foreign language at GMS: 100%

Academic Goals

The academic goals were established after a thorough analysis of multiple achievement data assessments including the state math assessment, state English / language arts assessment, student mastery of each Indiana Academic Standard, and report card grades. A full list of academic assessments is found in the "Force Field Excerpts" section of this plan. Other types of data are discussed in the Needs Assessment section of this plan.

The Full Faculty, Community Council (including parent representatives), and Student Body were involved in the analysis of the achievement data. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- How does this data compare to our vision (ideal) data?
- What specific data fields disturb us?
- What specific data fields please us?
- Where do we observe achievement gaps?

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) first identified a small number of broad academic areas on which the school and community agreed to focus their energy next year. Then, the teachers and Community Council members "drilled down" the data within those areas and identified specific courses or academic standards that, if improved, would impact the broader academic areas. Both the broad academic areas and the specific academic areas are included as goals below. Data targets that the educators and community members felt most strongly about were then provided to the students for their input. Finally, the educators and community members agreed to numerical goals that the school would strive to reach by the end of next school year. These goals fall between the current student data and the vision (ideal) data.

When reading the data tables below, please note that the title of each table includes:

- The group of students targeted to meet the goal
- The benchmark that those students will reach

The numbers in the tables reflect baseline data for past years and the target data for future years. The "vision data" represents our ideal data. It is the mission of our school to work toward this ideal.

All Students - All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	58	63	54.1	68	69.1	73		78		83		100

All Students - All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	55	60	53.6	57	64.7	63		67		73		100

All Students Tested - Percent of Students Tested Passing Language Arts I-STEP

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	60	66	64.4	68	79.6	72		78		82		100

All Students Tested - Percent of Students Tested Passing Mathematics I-STEP

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	60	68	68.6	73	78.2	78		83		88		100

Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students - Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	53	63	40.7	48	42.3	53		58		63		100

Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students - Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	26	36	29.6	33	30.8	38		43		48		100

Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students - Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	28	38	25.9	30	42.3	35		40		45		100

Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students - Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	43	53	37.0	43	50.0	48		53		58		100

Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students - Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

2008-2009		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	46	56	28.1	34	50.0	39		44		49		100

Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern

The Areas of Concern were established after a comprehensive needs assessment that included a survey of all educators, all students, parents and community members. Survey data for students and parents were disaggregated by ethnicity and economic level. The needs assessment also included a collection of data that reflected specific student needs (e.g. attendance rate), and a summary of discussion responses to inquiry questions about areas that might be interfering with learning in the school and community. The collection of data provided information about the school's expectations for student achievement, curriculum, instruction, classroom assessment, extra help, student guidance and the learning environment.

All educators and the Community Council (including parent representatives) were involved in the analysis of the student needs data. Specific attention was given to areas within the classroom that are interfering with learning. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement in our targeted academic areas for improvement?
- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement for the student group we have targeted for improvement?

The student body was asked to respond to an open ended question, "What is interfering with learning at our school?"

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council identified key areas that concerned them because they were interfering with students' ability to reach the established academic goals and more specifically, were interfering with the achievement of the targeted student group. The Full Faculty and Community Council agreed to address these "Areas of Concern" through targeted strategies next year.

The student needs assessment will be conducted again in the future to analyze the impact of the strategies included in this plan.

The Areas of Concern identified by our Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) are listed below.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... Poor attendance is impacting student achievement

The attendance rate for Greendale Middle School during the 2008-2009 school year was 96.4%. The attendance rate for the free/reduced lunch students was 94.97% and the attendance rate for the special education students was 95.14%.

We are concerned that... Poor behavior is impacting student achievement.

25% of students and 15% of parents feel that behavioral issues are impacting their child's success at GMS. 95% of the staff believes that behavioral issues impact student learning. 80% of the students (70% female; 88% males) were referred to the office for some form of discipline action during the 2008-2009 school year. 90% of the free/reduced lunch students were referred to the office for a discipline issue and 90% of the special education population was referred. 5% of the free/reduced lunch population was suspended/sent to A.C.D.C. during the 2008-2009 school year. 13% of the special education students were suspended/sent to A.C.D.C. during the 2008-2009 school year.

We are concerned that... Promotion rates for students doesn't correlate with mastery of standards

The promotion rate of all students at Greendale Middle School for the 2008-2009 school year was 100%. I-STEP MATH: 5th grade all students: 81% passed; 5th grade free/reduced students: 58% passed; 5th grade special education students: 65% passed. 6th grade all students: 78% passed; 6th grade free/reduced students: 69% passed; 6th grade special education students: 33% passed. 7th grade all students: 60% passed; 7th grade free/reduced students: 41% passed; 7th grade special education students: 27% passed. 8th grade all students: 76% passed; 8th grade free/reduced students: 69% passed; 8th grade special education students: 38% passed. MATH MASTERY: 5th grade all students: 42% mastery; 5th grade free/reduced students: 41% mastery; 5th grade special education students: 63% mastery. 6th grade all students: 59% mastery; 6th grade free/reduced students: 33% mastery; 6th grade special education students: 40% mastery. 7th grade all students: 64% mastery; 7th grade free/reduced students: 50% mastery; 7th grade special education students: 60% mastery. 8th grade all students: 55% mastery; 8th grade free/reduced students: 44% mastery; 8th grade special education students: 47% mastery. I-STEP LANGUAGE ARTS: 5th grade all students: 60% passed; 5th grade free/reduced students: 45% passed; 5th grade special education students: 15% passed. 6th grade all students: 66% passed; 6th grade free/reduced students: 58% passed; 6th grade special education students: 20% passed. 7th grade all students: 67% passed; 7th grade free/reduced students: 62% passed; 7th grade special education students: 40% passed. 8th grade all students: 65% passed; 8th grade free/reduced students: 53% passed; 8th grade special education students: 19% passed.

LANGUAGE ARTS MASTERY: 5th grade all students: 59% mastery; 5th grade free/reduced students: 30% mastery; 5th grade special education students: 15% mastery. 6th grade all students: 68% mastery; 6th grade free/reduced students: 53% mastery; 6th grade special education students: 40% mastery. 7th grade all students: 64% mastery; 7th grade free/reduced students: 38% mastery; 7th grade special education students: 47% mastery. 8th grade all students: 58% mastery; 8th grade free/reduced students: 28% mastery; 8th grade special education students: 41% mastery.

We are concerned that... Students are not actively engaged in their own learning

89% of students agree or strongly agree that they turn in assignments on a regular basis. 87% of parents agree that their children turn in their assignments on a regular basis. Only 50% of the staff agrees with this.

We are concerned that... The expectations we have for students are not high enough

90% of parents and community members expect their children to pass two semesters of pre-algebra or algebra a the middle school setting. Only 64% of teachers expect the same of their students. 98% of parents expect their child to continue education after high school whereas 63% of teachers expect their students to continue. 98% of parents expect their child to pass I-STEP whereas 82% of teachers expect their students to pass I-STEP.

We are concerned that... The number of students completing homework on a regular basis is extremely low

89% of students agree or strongly agree that they turn in assignments on a regular basis. 87% of parents agree that their children turn in their assignments on a regular basis. Only 50% of the staff agrees with this.

Required Areas of Concern

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

I. Focused Academic Area

U. Focused Student Group

X. Graduation Plan

Strategies

Strategies were established after identifying 1) Achievement Goals (see the Achievement Goals section), and 2) Areas of Concern (see the Needs Assessment - Areas of Concern section). Educators researched possible strategies for addressing each Area of Concern and then presented possible strategies to Full Faculty and Community Council for their consideration. The student body was provided with a list of Areas of Concern and asked to provide their input regarding strategies the school and community could employ to address the concerns. Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parent representatives) agreed to several strategies to implement next year.

Strategy Data: Strategy data will help the Full Faculty and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the success of the strategies in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables can be found in the Strategy Data section of this plan.

Strategy To-Do Lists: Each strategy has been assigned a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. The strategy to-do lists can be found in the Strategy To-Do List section of this plan.

The strategy titles and descriptions are listed below:

Local Strategies

Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics

Teachers in math courses will develop projects that will incorporate Indiana Academic Standards for Mathematics. Math teachers will develop activities to engage students in their learning using different techniques than the paper-pencil method of teaching math. Teachers will continue training during the summer of 2011 for this program and bring additional ideas back to the classroom for utilization during the 2011-2012 school year.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Greendale Middle School Credit Policy

Piggybacking off the credit requirements of Lawrenceburg High School, students will be required to accumulate credits at the middle school level. Teachers will be involved in developing the number of credits required by students to be promoted to the next grade level. GMS will utilize a system similar to that of Lawrenceburg High School and its requirements for graduation. The policy will be written during the spring of 2011 and fully implemented for the Fall of 2011.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Homework Policy for GMS Students

Teachers and administrators will collaborate to develop a rigorous and consistent homework policy that will be implemented by all teachers in the GMS community. All teachers will collaborate to develop this plan addressing the needs of our students. Implementation of this policy occurred the first day of school 2010-2011. There has been a reduction in the number of missing assignments from the beginning of the 2010-2011 school year to date; however, we are looking at streamlining the documentation for this plan for the 2011-2012 school year. In addition, more rigid consequences for repeated behavior must be incorporated and will be initiated through the end of this school year. Our goal is to reduce the number of missing assignments turned in to each teacher and raise teacher expectations of students.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: General

Language Arts Lab

This ongoing strategy will be part of the Tier 3 initiative of Rtl. Students will be identified through grades, I-STEP scores, and classroom performance by teachers of language arts. Students struggling in these courses and +/- 20 points on I-STEP will be placed into the Language Arts Lab where extra instructional time, test taking strategies, and remediation will take place. This is an ongoing strategy that was incorporated during the 2009-2010 school year. The goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to separate students that need language arts lab from those that need math lab. This will be done by creating a separate class for each area that are independent of one another.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Math Lab

This ongoing strategy will be part of the Tier 3 initiative of Rtl. Students will be identified through grades, I-STEP scores, and classroom performance by teachers of Math. Students struggling in these courses and +/- 20 points on I-STEP will be placed into the Math Lab where extra instructional time, test taking strategies, and remediation will take place. The goal for the 2011-2012 school year is to separate students that need language arts lab from those that need math lab. This will be done by creating a separate class for each area that are independent of one another.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS

Bengal Pride 365 is a positive behavioral intervention plan developed by the staff and students of Greendale Middle School. The staff has identified 5 different expectations for students. The expectations correspond with P.R.I.D.E.: 1) Be prepared, 2) Be respectful, 3) Have initiative, 4) Be determined, and 5) Be exemplary. Students also conducted a recent survey where they were asked the following questions: 1) What do you feel is essential to being a successful student; 2) What do you feel is essential to being a good friend, person, or citizen; 3) How can teachers show you respect; and 4) What ways do you like to be rewarded? These questions will help develop a level of mutual respect among students and staff of GMS. The answers for these questions were incorporated into the PRIDE framework. From here, students will identify the 5 PRIDE statements and how they would be shown in different parts of the school. Once students have identified these criteria, they will then determine some form of performance (either video, posters, presentation) over the PRIDE system. The addition of the behavioral point system used at Central Elementary to track student performance on our areas. A three-tier system will be developed that will provide rewards and consequences over a 2 week period. This will be implemented for the start of the 2011-2012 school year. This point system will reflect aspects of our Homework Policy in addition to other behaviors we expect from all students at GMS to be successful.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Response to Instruction

Greendale Middle School will be researching and developing their Rtl program based upon those programs already established in the Lawrenceburg Community School Corporation and those established by other middle schools in the surrounding area. Teachers will identify the interventions and instruction that must take place for all students to be successful in the classroom. Documentation of this policy must take place and a format for the documentation and meetings about students will be developed as well. This will be done by teachers in all curricular areas of the school. This plan will be initiated during the Spring of 2011. The goal is to have this plan in place for the start of the 2011-2012 school year.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts

Students will be given "warm-up" activities 3 days a week in their language arts classes. These activities will be based on I-STEP questions. Questions may be developed by classroom teachers or given out of the "I-STEP Coach" workbook. Performance on these activities will be monitored by the same group of teachers and will help develop and guide the curriculum being taught in the classroom to help prepare students for I-STEP testing in the spring. This will be an ongoing strategy used by language arts teachers during the 2011-2012 school year. Teachers feel this has impacted the improvement we are seeing in student performance related to Acuity testing and are very eager to see the results on I-STEP testing this spring.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics

Students will be given "warm-up" activities 3 days a week in their math classes. These activities will be based on I-STEP questions. Questions may be developed by classroom teachers or given out of the "I-STEP Coach" workbook. Performance on these activities will be monitored by the same group of teachers and will help develop and guide the curriculum being taught in the classroom to help prepare students for I-STEP testing in the spring. This will be an ongoing strategy used by language arts teachers during the 2011-2012 school year. Teachers feel this has impacted the improvement we are seeing in student performance related to Acuity testing and are very eager to see the results on I-STEP testing this spring.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Required Strategies

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention

Math and Language Arts teachers will identify students who are not working to the best of their ability based on ISTEP scores and overall classroom performance to determine academic skill areas of weakness. Students will be pulled twice a week by Math and Language Arts teachers to work intensely so that they will be able to be successful in a rigorous curriculum.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

G. Attendance

Attendance will be monitored on a daily basis in the office by the secretaries along with the school administration. Attendance letters are sent home upon the 3rd absence in a semester. A second letter is sent upon the 5th absence. When a child reaches 7 absences, we contact our school attendance officer who makes contact with the parent(s) of the student. This allows the school to help out in any way to ensure that the child is in attendance at school. When a child reaches 10 absences in a semester, a recommendation letter is sent to the Dearborn County Probation Office. At that point, probation will attack the situation with attendance.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts

The guidance director, teachers, and administration will develop a plan for students who do not complete language arts with a proficient grade. Teachers, guidance counselors, and administrators will continue this intervention system which will address student's academic needs, organizational skills, and study skills over the course of the 2011-2012 school year. Teachers feel this has had a great impact on the performance and preparation for students on assessments and on the preparation for I-STEP testing.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics

The guidance counselor, administrators, and teachers will develop a plan for students who do not complete mathematics with a proficient grade. Teachers, guidance counselors, and administrators will continue this intervention system which will address student's academic needs, organizational skills, and study skills over the course of the 2011-2012 school year. Teachers feel this has had a great impact on the performance and preparation for students on assessments and on the preparation for I-STEP testing.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students

The mentoring program will continue this year with our partnership with Big Brothers/Big Sisters of the Greater Cincinnati Area. Students will be identified by classroom teachers and the guidance counselor. Students will be paired with a "Big" who will provide guidance with homework, social interaction, and career planning.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

X. Graduation Plan

The guidance counselor of Greendale Middle School, along with the business teacher, will provide the opportunity for students to participate in career planning. The planning will be updated annually in either the business class or by the guidance counselor. The importance of this plan is to provide the student the opportunity to set goals for the future, including an intent for graduation from high school and exploration of career plans. Students will research the required education for each of their goals. Utilizing computer websites to identify areas of interest will be done in the business classroom. The guidance counselor will provide information to students about different careers and college choices starting in the 6th grade. This plan will be followed up on a yearly basis by Mrs. Lockwood and Ms. Sturgeon to involve further planning and preparation for Lawrenceburg High School and post-secondary career and educational planning. In addition, students will be informed of the importance of exemplary behavior, good attendance, and proficient study habits that will help them reach their maximum potential.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Strategy Data

Strategy data will help the teachers and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the strategy's success in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables below indicate 1) the group of adults or students that are expected to change as a result of this strategy, 2) the desired practice(s), and 3) what the school will count to determine the degree to which the practice(s) changed.

Local Strategies

Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics

% of teachers who turn input lessons into STI every week for entire semester

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

% of teachers turning in weekly lesson plans incorporating project-based learning (% of those who turn in every week for entire semester)

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
75	100		100	

Homework Policy for GMS Students

% of students who turn in homework on a given day chosen randomly four times a month

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
85	100		100	

% of teachers following the homework policy in their classroom

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

% of students who attend in Homework Help on average per week

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
3	8		8	

% of students who bring materials to class on a given day chosen randomly four times a month

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

% of teachers that report on homework completion

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Required Strategies

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention

Percent of math teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Percent of language arts teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Percent of students who are successful in rigorous math curriculum.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

Percent of students who are successful in rigorous language arts curriculum.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts

Count of teachers turning in weekly list of students they met with during the week

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Count % of observed teachers working with small groups

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

Count percent of students who turn in all homework on a given day

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

Count percent of students who bring materials to class on a given day.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics

% observed teaching lessons that are aligned with standards.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

% turning in weekly lesson plans aligned with Indiana Academic Standards.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

%who turn in all homework on a given day.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

% of students that bring materials to class each day.

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
90	100		100	

U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students

of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in completion/identification of career and post-secondary educational planning

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
0	36		36	

% of Teachers and Administrators meeting with Mentors to identify students in need of special assistance

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
50	100		100	

% of Teachers to track homework completion rates of students who have been assigned Community Mentors

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in homework

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
25	36		36	

of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that offer assistance in career planning

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
25	36		36	

% of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who turn in all homework in a given day

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
85	100		100	

% of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who complete career and post-secondary educational plans

Baseline 10-11	Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

To-Do List

After the strategies were selected for next year, each strategy was assigned to a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. At the beginning of next year, each strategy chair will go over their strategy's "To-Do" list with the entire faculty. Throughout the year, each strategy chair will check off each strategy activity as it is completed. At the end of the first semester, each strategy chair will present the percentage of completed activities to the Full Faculty and Community Council. If the strategy activities were not fully implemented, the chair will facilitate a discussion about changes that will occur during the second semester to ensure that all activities are completed.

Report by strategy. Showing completed and yet-to-be-completed action steps.

Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics

Jul 31, 2011: Teachers will attend the summer continuation workshop for the "Math Matters" held in Columbus Indiana **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers turning in weekly lesson plans incorporating project-based learning (% of those who turn in every week for entire semester) **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who turn input lessons into STI every week for entire semester **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Dec 31, 2011: Math teachers will develop at least 1 differentiated instructional strategy for Semester 1 **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Dec 31, 2011: Math teachers will develop at least 1 differentiated instructional strategy for Semester 2 **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers turning in weekly lesson plans incorporating project-based learning (% of those who turn in every week for entire semester) **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who turn input lessons into STI every week for entire semester **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

Oct 15, 2011: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of academic assistance that will be provided through the GMS Rtl program **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of language arts teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of math teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of students who are successful in rigorous language arts curriculum. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of students who are successful in rigorous math curriculum. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Dec 17, 2011: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of academic assistance that will be provided through the GMS Rtl program **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Feb 18, 2012: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of academic assistance that will be provided through the GMS Rtl program **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Apr 21, 2012: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of academic assistance that will be provided through the GMS Rtl program **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of language arts teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of math teachers who will correctly and consistently identify students with academic skill areas of weakness. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of students who are successful in rigorous language arts curriculum. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of students who are successful in rigorous math curriculum. **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Focused Academic Area

Jun 15, 2011: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of additional assistance in the classroom dealing with Math. This will be incorporated with the Rtl program at GMS **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % observed teaching lessons that are aligned with standards. **Person:**

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students that bring materials to class each day. **Person:**

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % turning in weekly lesson plans aligned with Indiana Academic Standards. **Person:**

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: %who turn in all homework on a given day. **Person:**

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Count % of observed teachers working with small groups **Person:** Staci Knigga

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Count of teachers turning in weekly list of students they met with during the week **Person:** Staci Knigga

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Count percent of students who bring materials to class on a given day. **Person:** Staci Knigga

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Count percent of students who turn in all homework on a given day **Person:** Staci Knigga

Jun 15, 2012: Teachers will continue to identify students who are in need of additional assistance in the classroom dealing with Language Arts. This will be incorporated with the RtI program at GMS **Person:** Suzanne Pieczonka

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % observed teaching lessons that are aligned with standards. **Person:**

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students that bring materials to class each day. **Person:**

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % turning in weekly lesson plans aligned with Indiana Academic Standards. **Person:**

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: %who turn in all homework on a given day. **Person:**

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Count % of observed teachers working with small groups **Person:** Staci Knigga

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Count of teachers turning in weekly list of students they met with during the week **Person:** Staci Knigga

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Count percent of students who bring materials to class on a given day. **Person:** Staci Knigga

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Count percent of students who turn in all homework on a given day **Person:** Staci Knigga

Focused Student Group

Aug 15, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will implement both 6th and 7th grade students for the 2011-2012 School Year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Aug 16, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Aug 23, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Aug 30, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Sep 6, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Sep 13, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Sep 20, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Sep 27, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 4, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 11, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 15, 2011: Continue to monitor progression of students involved in the mentoring program for passing grades **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 15, 2011: Students will be identified by teachers and recommended to the guidance counselor to join Big Brothers/Big Sisters on a quarterly basis **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 18, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Oct 25, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 1, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 8, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 15, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 22, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 29, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that offer assistance in career planning **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in completion/identification of career and post-secondary educational planning **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in homework **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who complete career and post-secondary educational plans **Person:** Kevin Self

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who turn in all homework in a given day **Person:** Kevin Self

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of Teachers and Administrators meeting with Mentors to identify students in need of special assistance **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of Teachers to track homework completion rates of students who have been assigned Community Mentors **Person:** Kevin Self

Dec 6, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Dec 13, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Dec 17, 2011: Continue to monitor progression of students involved in the mentoring program for passing grades **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Dec 17, 2011: Students will be identified by teachers and recommended to the guidance counselor to join Big Brothers/Big Sisters on a quarterly basis **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Dec 20, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Dec 27, 2011: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jan 3, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jan 10, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jan 17, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jan 24, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jan 31, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 7, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 14, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 18, 2012: Continue to monitor progression of students involved in the mentoring program for passing grades **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 18, 2012: Students will be identified by teachers and recommended to the guidance counselor to join Big Brothers/Big Sisters on a quarterly basis **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 21, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Feb 28, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Mar 6, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Mar 13, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Mar 20, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Mar 27, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 3, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 10, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 17, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 21, 2012: Continue to monitor progression of students involved in the mentoring program for passing grades **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 21, 2012: Students will be identified by teachers and recommended to the guidance counselor to join Big Brothers/Big Sisters on a quarterly basis **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Apr 24, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

May 1, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

May 8, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

May 15, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

May 22, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

May 29, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 5, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 12, 2012: Big Brothers/Big Sisters will meet once per week for the school year **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that offer assistance in career planning **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in completion/identification of career and post-secondary educational planning **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: # of mentoring sessions with Community Member Mentors that will offer assistance in homework **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who complete career and post-secondary educational plans **Person:** Kevin Self

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of special ed/free & reduced lunch students who turn in all homework in a given day **Person:** Kevin Self

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of Teachers and Administrators meeting with Mentors to identify students in need of special assistance **Person:** Gayle Stafford

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of Teachers to track homework completion rates of students who have been assigned Community Mentors **Person:** Kevin Self

Greendale Middle School Credit Policy

May 31, 2011: Administration and teachers will develop a credit system based upon passing and failing core classes **Person:** Kevin Self

May 31, 2011: Guidelines for credit system will be placed into student handbook **Person:** Kevin Self

Oct 30, 2011: Grades will be evaluated for every student at the end of the nine-weeks grading period **Person:** Kevin Self

Jan 1, 2012: Grades will be evaluated for every student at the end of the nine-weeks grading period **Person:** Kevin Self

Mar 4, 2012: Grades will be evaluated for every student at the end of the nine-weeks grading period **Person:** Kevin Self

May 6, 2012: Grades will be evaluated for every student at the end of the nine-weeks grading period **Person:** Kevin Self

Homework Policy for GMS Students

May 30, 2011: Teachers and Administrators will revise the homework policy to coincide with the Positive Behavior Plan here at GMS **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students who attend in Homework Help on average per week **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students who bring materials to class on a given day chosen randomly four times a month **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students who turn in homework on a given day chosen randomly four times a month **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers following the homework policy in their classroom **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers that report on homework completion **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students who attend in Homework Help on average per week **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students who bring materials to class on a given day chosen randomly four times a month **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students who turn in homework on a given day chosen randomly four times a month **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers following the homework policy in their classroom **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers that report on homework completion **Person:** Stacie Dishman

Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS

May 31, 2011: Teachers and Administrators will devise a policy for positive behavior supports based on those used around the Lawrenceburg Community School Corporation **Person:** Kevin Self

May 31, 2011: The positive behavior support plan will be placed into the student handbook and approved for the 2011-2012 school year **Person:** Kevin Self

Aug 15, 2011: Teachers will be trained on the use of Microsoft Access to input behavior points to be used to track students in different tiers **Person:** Kevin Self

Aug 15, 2011: Teachers will learn implementation of the behavior plan and rewards for students who are reaching levels of expectations **Person:** Kevin Self

Aug 30, 2011: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Sep 30, 2011: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Oct 30, 2011: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Nov 30, 2011: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Dec 30, 2011: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Jan 30, 2012: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Mar 1, 2012: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Apr 1, 2012: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

May 1, 2012: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Jun 1, 2012: Data will be collected on a bi-monthly basis to show which tier students are reaching based upon their accumulated behavior points **Person:** Kevin Self

Response to Instruction

May 15, 2011: Documents will be created by the Strategy Team to provide proper referrals to ROD Special Education Cooperative **Person:** Su Pieczonka

May 15, 2011: ROD will provide inservice training for teachers and administrators into the proper requirements for Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions **Person:** Kevin Self

May 15, 2011: Teachers and Administrators will meet to discuss interventions to use during Tier 2 and Tier 3 intervention periods for students **Person:** Su Pieczonka

May 30, 2011: Documents used will be checked with ROD Special Education Cooperative to ensure they meet state requirements for special education referral **Person:** Kevin Self

May 30, 2011: The intervention plans will be discussed with the Ripley-Ohio-Dearborn County Special Education Cooperative to ensure these are adequate for providing information for special education referrals **Person:** Kevin Self
Aug 15, 2011: ROD Special Education Cooperative will provide inservice training for staff prior to full implementation **Person:** Kevin Self

Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts

Aug 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Sep 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Oct 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Nov 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Dec 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Jan 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Feb 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Mar 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
Apr 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga
May 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to impliment the "Step Up to I-STEP" program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classrooms **Person:** Staci Knigga

Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics

Aug 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Sep 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Oct 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Nov 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Dec 16, 2011: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Jan 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Feb 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Mar 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
Apr 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel
May 16, 2012: Teachers will continue to implement the Step Up to I-STEP program using the I-STEP Coach Books in the classroom **Person:** Destiny Rutzel

Professional Development Summary

REPORT IS NOT FINAL - NOT ALL STRATEGIES HAVE MET CRITERIA

Alignment with the School Improvement Plan: The Professional Development Plan is directly aligned with strategies found in the School Improvement Plan. Professional development activities enhance educator's knowledge within one or more of the following areas: a) expectations, b) curriculum content, c) classroom instruction, d) classroom assessment, e) extra help, f) guidance, and g) the learning environment. The amount of funding allocated for each professional development activity and the funding source is listed for each professional development activity.

Effective Learning Process: The Professional Development Plan was written by teacher-leaders and includes activities that will help teachers develop the knowledge and skills needed to successfully implement the strategies listed in the School Improvement Plan. The plan includes a variety of formats for professional development. Activities have been embedded in the school day as appropriate. The plan also includes activities designed to provide teachers with feedback over time. Finally, "strategy data" is collected for comprehensive strategies that identifies the degree to which the strategy has brought about a positive changes in adult practices.

Professional Growth Environment: Each strategy is supported by a "strategy chair." Strategy chairs are responsible for 1) writing (with support from colleagues) a strategy plan including on-going professional development activities, anti-resistance, and evaluation activities, 2) providing on-going mentoring to their colleagues in the implementation of the strategy, 3) analyzing the effectiveness of the strategy, and 4) making a recommendation to the faculty with respect to whether or not the strategy should be sustained.

Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics

Teachers will attend the summer continuation workshop for the "Math Matters" held in Columbus Indian

Brief Description: Teachers will attend Math Matters workshop in Columbus, IN during the summer of 2011. The teachers will work on their project based curriculum during this week-long workshop.

Intended Participants: Teachers

Date: Jul 31, 2011

Activity Purpose: Refinement

Activity Format: Presentation

Funding: None Needed

Does this activity occur during the school day? No

Greendale Middle School Credit Policy

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Homework Policy for GMS Students

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS

Teachers will be trained on the use of Microsoft Access to input behavior points to be used to track

Brief Description: Teachers will be shown the system for data collecting using the Microsoft Access program. This will be done in the computer lab.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators, Community Members

Date: Aug 15, 2011

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation

Funding: None Needed

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Teachers will learn implementation of the behavior plan and rewards for students who are reaching le

Brief Description: Teachers will be shown the criteria for each discipline issue that is part of the behavior plan. This implementation will take place prior to the start of the school year.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators

Date: Aug 15, 2011

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation

Funding: None Needed

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Response to Instruction

ROD Special Education Cooperative will provide inservice training for staff prior to full implementa

Brief Description: ROD staff will finalize the intervention strategies and show what is required for proper documentation prior to the submission of a student's name for special education testing.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators

Date: Aug 15, 2011

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation

Funding: None Needed

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

ROD will provide inservice training for teachers and administrators into the proper requirements for

Brief Description: Language Arts and Math teachers will be introduced to interventions that can be used and documented. These interventions will be identified as Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions.

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators

Date: May 15, 2011

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation, Collaborative Problem Solving

Funding: None Needed

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

G. Attendance

Status: Not Yet Submitted

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Relationship Report:

Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals

This report shows the relationship between the Areas of Concern, Strategies, and Achievement Goals. For each Area of Concern, the report shows 1) the strategies that will enable the school to successfully address the concern and 2) the achievement goals that will be positively impacted when the concern is successfully addressed.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... Poor attendance is impacting student achievement

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Language Arts Lab
- Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS
- G. Attendance
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... Poor behavior is impacting student achievement.

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts
- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Greendale Middle School Credit Policy
- Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... Promotion rates for students doesn't correlate with mastery of standards

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts
- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Language Arts I-STEP
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Mathematics I-STEP

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- Response to Instruction
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... Students are not actively engaged in their own learning**Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:**

- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics
- Homework Policy for GMS Students
- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... The expectations we have for students are not high enough**Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:**

- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Homework Policy for GMS Students
- Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS
- Response to Instruction
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students
- X. Graduation Plan

We are concerned that... The number of students completing homework on a regular basis is extremely low

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts
- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Language Arts I-STEP
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Mathematics I-STEP
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics
- Greendale Middle School Credit Policy
- Homework Policy for GMS Students
- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- X. Graduation Plan

Required Areas of Concern

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts
- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Language Arts I-STEP
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Mathematics I-STEP
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics
- Greendale Middle School Credit Policy
- Homework Policy for GMS Students
- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- Response to Instruction
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention

- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- X. Graduation Plan

I. Focused Academic Area (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Differentiated Instructional Practices for Mathematics
- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS
- Response to Instruction
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- X. Graduation Plan

U. Focused Student Group (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Problem Solving on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Literary Text on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Language Arts Writing Process on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Computation on I-STEP Test
- Free & Reduced Lunch that are Special Education Students -- Percent of Mastery of Math Number Sense on I-STEP Test

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Greendale Middle School Credit Policy
- Language Arts Lab
- Math Lab
- Positive Behavioral Intervention Model for GMS
- Response to Instruction
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Language Arts
- Step-Up to I-STEP--Mathematics
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Rigor Through Intervention
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program--Mathematics
- I. Focused Academic Area: Middle School Intervention Program - Language Arts
- U. Focused Student Group: Mentoring of Special Ed/Free Reduced Students
- X. Graduation Plan

X. Graduation Plan (IN Rules)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Language Arts
- All Students -- All Students Meeting Mastery Grades in Mathematics
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Language Arts I-STEP
- All Students Tested -- Percent of Students Tested Passing Mathematics I-STEP

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Greendale Middle School Credit Policy
- X. Graduation Plan

Force Field Excerpt

The Force Field reports are lengthy data reports that help the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) identify Areas of Concern (student needs). The Force Field excerpt found below contains information that must be included in the School Improvement Plan to meet various requirements of Public Law 221 and if applicable, Title I Schoolwide.

<p>A. Where is the public copy of your school's curriculum located?</p>	<p>The public copy of the school's curriculum is located in the main office. This is also published in each child's school agenda book.</p>
<p>B. What rules or statutes would you like to waive in order to promote student learning?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify the specific statute and and/or rule you wish to waive. ● Identify the educational strategies this waiver would allow you to implement that could not be implemented under current statute and rule. ● Explain the benefit to student achievement. ● Describe the evaluation process that would be used to measure the success of these strategies. 	<p>I would like to have the opportunity to waive the requirements for encore classes to concentrate on student learning that takes place in the core subject area classes. Students should have a firm foundation in math and language arts before they are allowed to participate in encore classes (PE, art, choir/band, business, tech ed). The initiative of Language Arts/Math Lab currently is combined into one class where students receive assistance with that foundation. In the future, I would like to split those into separate classes where students get additional time for concentrating on this. In order for this to occur, the requirements of PE may have to be eliminated.</p>
<p>C. Are your school's attendance rates supportive of learning? What activities will you implement next year to increase or maintain your attendance rate?</p>	<p>We have used Bengal PRIDE 365 as a driving force for high attendance rates so far this year. With the start of our school year, the average daily attendance rate has been 98%</p>
<p>D. List the titles of the assessment instruments that are used in addition to ISTEP+ / ECA and give a brief description of each.</p>	<p>Acuity testing is used to provide teachers with a prediction of strengths and weaknesses in particular standard areas along with a prediction of performance on the I-STEP+ testing. With this test, students are assessed 3 times during the school year in math and language arts. They are assessed 2 times in social studies (7th grade) and science (6th grade). Growth is expected from all students especially in relation to the Indiana Growth Model.</p>

Continuous Improvement Timeline

DISCUSSION TOPIC	FACILITATOR TRAINING (Steering Teams)			FACULTY AND COMMUNITY COUNCIL DISCUSSIONS
	ORIGINAL PLAN	REVIEW & REVISE		
	1st Year Schools	2nd and 3rd Year Schools	Alumni Schools	
New Principal and Steering Team Member Training	n/a	Aug	Aug	n/a
First Year: Rationale + Organizational Structure After First Year: Kick Off / Year End Data Review (Transcripts / ISTEP / ECA)	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 – Aug AM	First 2 weeks of Sept
Vision	Session 2 – Sept	n/a	Session 1 – Aug PM	First 2 weeks of Oct*
Data Targets	Session 3 - Oct	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 – Aug AM	First 2 weeks in Nov
Areas of Concern	Session 4 - Dec	n/a	Session 2 – Dec PM	First 2 weeks in Jan*
Strategy Selection	Session 5 - Jan	Session 2 - Dec	Session 2 – Dec AM	First 2 weeks in Feb
Conference on Learning	Jan	Jan	Jan	
Strategy Selection: Title & Description	Feb	Feb	n/a	First 2 weeks in Mar
Strategy Implementation Plans	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Optional Strategy Chair Training	First week of Apr

* Our school will complete these tasks every three years
We are next scheduled to complete these tasks during the 2012-2013 school year