

School Improvement Plan - 2011-2012

Generated on September 6, 2011 at 1:33 PM

Central Elementary School (1217)

Lawrenceburg Com School Corp

Lawrenceburg, IN

This plan will be revised annually. Updates may be found each June at <http://www.asainstitute.org/publicsip>. The plan was developed with assistance from the:



Indiana Student Achievement Institute
931 25th Street
Columbus, IN 47201
Phone: 812-669-0009
Email: asai@asainstitute.org
Website: <http://www.asainstitute.org>

Table of Contents

- School Improvement Plan Introduction 3
- Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan? 4
- Vision Statement 6
- Academic Goals 7
- Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern 9
- Strategies 12
- Strategy Data 17
- To-Do List 21
- Professional Development Summary 25
- Relationship Report: Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals 27
- Force Field Excerpt 34
- Continuous Improvement Timeline 35

School Improvement Plan Introduction

Central Elementary School

This school improvement plan was developed using a vision-based and data-driven process called *Vision-to-Action* that was developed by the Indiana Student Achievement Institute. In addition to containing the strategies our school and community identified as key to raising student achievement and closing achievement gaps in our school, this plan contains strategies that meet the expectations of:

- Indiana Student Achievement Institute
- Indiana Rules and Regulations
- Title I - Schoolwide
- Title I - School in Improvement

Participation

The following people were involved in developing this School Improvement Plan

- Teachers
- Students
- Parent representatives
- Community representatives

Process

Those involved in developing this School Improvement Plan participated in a series of discussions related to the *Vision-to-Action* steps:

1. Establish a local culture of high expectations
2. Create a common vision for student success
3. Analyze achievement data and set realistic data targets for each of the next three years
4. Analyze force field data and identify concerns within the following areas.
 - Academic expectations
 - Curriculum content
 - Instructional practices
 - Classroom assessment
 - Extra help
 - Student guidance
 - Learning environment
5. Research strategies to address our concerns and select high-leverage strategies to implement
6. Develop a to-do list for each strategy

Using Data for Decision-Marking

The Vision-to-Action process relies heavily on data as a foundation for decision-making.

1. Achievement Data – To what degree are students prepared for success at the next educational level, and ultimately for success in postsecondary education and a global economy?
2. Force Field Data – What conditions in the school and community interfere with high student achievement?
3. Strategy Data – Is the strategy having the desired impact on adults and students?

Implementation Next Year

Our work next year will be guided by the to-do list that we have developed for each strategy.

Questions about the School Improvement Plan should be directed to the building principal.

Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan?

Many individuals were involved in the creation of this school improvement plan.

Groups and Roles

The **Steering Team** is comprised of educators, a parent, and a business representative. The Steering Team's role is to help the Full Faculty and Community Council 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Full Faculty** is made up of all educators. The role of the Full Faculty is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Community Council for decisions related to school improvement.

The **Community Council** is comprised of parents and representatives of community stakeholder groups. All parents were invited to be part of the Community Council and any interested parent was welcome to join the Council. The role of the Community Council is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Full Faculty in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Student Body** includes every student enrolled in the school. The role of the Student Body is to 1) review data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) provide input for the Full Faculty and Community Council.

Discussion Topics

The Full Faculty, Community Council and Student Body participated in guided discussions about the following topics. Written summaries of all discussions were developed and are on file at the school.

- Discussion 1: Global Economics
- Discussion 2: Vision for Student Success
- Discussion 3: Achievement Data Analysis and Achievement Goals
- Discussion 4: Force Field Data Analysis (student needs) and Areas of Concern
- Discussion 5: Strategy Selection

Steering Team

- Ralph Haynes - Administrator
- Jamie Lorton - Teacher
- Deb Molloy - Teacher
- Ramzi Nuseibeh - Community
- Stephanie Nutley - Parent/Guardian
- Angela Schmarr - School Counselor

Strategy Chairs

- Bethany Eldridge
- Abby Friend
- Ralph Haynes
- Deb Molloy
- Angela Schmarr
- Dareen Seipel
- Anita Steinmetz
- Dedra Trossman
- Samantha Walter

Community Council

- Ed Brush - Business
- Stephanie Cromer - Parent
- Amanda Ewbank - Business

- Karla Henderson - Business
- Deb Molloy - Title 1
- Stephanie Nutley - Parent Contact
- Laura Pruitt - Parent
- Scott Rahschulte - Business
- Beth Schwarz - Parent
- Renee Smith - Parent

Vision Statement

The Vision Statement was developed by all educators, all students, and members of the community and is reviewed and updated annually. The foundation of the statement identifies the core convictions upon which this school improvement plan is built and answers the question, "What do our students deserve in order to be successful learners, responsible citizens, and productive members of a global economy?" The second part of the vision statement describes ideal adults who are striving to provide students with the things they deserve in order to achieve at a rigorous level. The third part of the vision statement describes ideal students in a school and community where adults live by their convictions. The last part of the vision statement includes ideal data that represent the community's dreams. This lofty data serves as the focal point for the remainder of the school improvement plan and requires the school and community to work toward every student succeeding at a high level.

We believe all students deserve:

We believe that all students deserve a safe, comfortable and positive learning environment at school and at home. We believe that all students deserve a structured classroom where the educator is adequately trained and continually presents a positive attitude. We believe that all students deserve up-to-date curriculum that prepares them for a well rounded education. We believe that all students deserve to observe, experience and inhabit the "Six Pillars of Character": Trustworthiness, Respect, Responsibility, Fairness, Caring and Citizenship. We believe that all students deserve for their feelings to be heard, understood and considered by the adults and fellow students at school. We believe that all students deserve parent or guardian interaction with school staff. We believe that all students deserve extra help when needed, as well as ongoing assessments offered in a variety of formats. We believe that all students deserve to have their basic health, safety and livelihood needs met, at home and at school. We believe that all students deserve to dream and imagine what life might be like in their futures. We believe that all students deserve the best possible efforts of all adults that come in contact with them.

All adults in our community are living by these core convictions daily and as a result, show the following attitudes and actions:

The adults in our community are living by these core convictions daily and as a result, show the following attitudes and actions: All stakeholders in the community believe that education should be held in the highest regard. They make it their passion to be life-long learners and "walk-the-talk." All teachers, parents, and community members will be positive role models for the students by being polite, well-mannered, and leading by example. Teachers will use data to drive their instruction that is tied to the standards. Teachers communicate clear expectations educationally and behaviorally for all students with consistent and fair consequences. All teachers show students their dedication to their job by being energized upon entering the building and enthusiastic while teaching. Teachers challenge all students to work to their fullest potential while providing extra support for those that need it to be successful. Teachers tie in life learning and real life applications into students' learning and while assessing achievement. Parents will be welcomed into our building as volunteers, and will keep communication lines open with the teacher and school. In turn, teachers and school will keep communication lines open with parents through newsletter contact, phone calls, and e-mails.

In this environment where all adults are living by their core convictions, all students:

In our schools and community where all adults are living by their core convictions, all students are goal oriented and believe in their potential, despite any environmental disadvantages. Because the students are goal oriented, they arrive ready to learn and are actively engaged in their learning. Students are able to self-monitor and are serious about their learning. This helps foster a positive learning atmosphere in and out of school. Students show respect to adults and other students and are supportive of one another in the learning experience. As a result of these efforts, our school's student achievement data is as follows:

As a result of these efforts, our school's student achievement data is as follows:

- % of students who pass ISTEP Social Studies: 100%
- % of students who pass ISTEP Science: 100%
- % of students who pass ISTEP Math: 100%
- % of students who pass ISTEP English: 100%
- % of students who are not at risk on DIBELS assessment: 100%
- % of students who are on grade level for reading/comprehension: 100%
- % of students who show improvement on assessments: 100%
- % of students who master grade level standards: 100%
- % of students who turn in all homework daily: 100%

Academic Goals

The academic goals were established after a thorough analysis of multiple achievement data assessments including the state math assessment, state English / language arts assessment, student mastery of each Indiana Academic Standard, and report card grades. A full list of academic assessments is found in the "Force Field Excerpts" section of this plan. Other types of data are discussed in the Needs Assessment section of this plan.

The Full Faculty, Community Council (including parent representatives), and Student Body were involved in the analysis of the achievement data. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- How does this data compare to our vision (ideal) data?
- What specific data fields disturb us?
- What specific data fields please us?
- Where do we observe achievement gaps?

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) first identified a small number of broad academic areas on which the school and community agreed to focus their energy next year. Then, the teachers and Community Council members "drilled down" the data within those areas and identified specific courses or academic standards that, if improved, would impact the broader academic areas. Both the broad academic areas and the specific academic areas are included as goals below. Data targets that the educators and community members felt most strongly about were then provided to the students for their input. Finally, the educators and community members agreed to numerical goals that the school would strive to reach by the end of next school year. These goals fall between the current student data and the vision (ideal) data.

When reading the data tables below, please note that the title of each table includes:

- The group of students targeted to meet the goal
- The benchmark that those students will reach

The numbers in the tables reflect baseline data for past years and the target data for future years. The "vision data" represents our ideal data. It is the mission of our school to work toward this ideal.

All Students - Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 73% | 78% | 82% | 87% | | 92% | | 97% | | 100% | | 100% |

All Students - Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 71% | 76% | 80% | 85% | | 90% | | 95% | | 100% | | 100% |

Special Education Males - % passing ISTEP+ LA Writing Applications

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 25% | 45% | 33% | 40% | | 46% | | 51% | | 56% | | 100% |

Special Education Students - % passing the ISTEP+ LA

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 22% | 42% | 47% | 52% | | 62% | | 72% | | 82% | | 100% |

Special Education Students - % passing the ISTEP+ Math

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 47% | 57% | 38% | 44% | | 50% | | 55% | | 60% | | 100% |

Title One / Free and Reduced Students - % passing the ISTEP+ LA

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 62% | 67% | 72% | 77% | | 82% | | 87% | | 90% | | 100% |

Title One / Free and Reduced Students - % passing the ISTEP+ Math

| 2008-2009 | | 2009-2010 | | 2010-2011 | | 2011-2012 | | 2012-2013 | | 2013-2014 | | Vision Data |
|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | |
| | 67% | 72% | 66% | 70% | | 73% | | 76% | | 79% | | 100% |

Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern

The Areas of Concern were established after a comprehensive needs assessment that included a survey of all educators, all students, parents and community members. Survey data for students and parents were disaggregated by ethnicity and economic level. The needs assessment also included a collection of data that reflected specific student needs (e.g. attendance rate), and a summary of discussion responses to inquiry questions about areas that might be interfering with learning in the school and community. The collection of data provided information about the school's expectations for student achievement, curriculum, instruction, classroom assessment, extra help, student guidance and the learning environment.

All educators and the Community Council (including parent representatives) were involved in the analysis of the student needs data. Specific attention was given to areas within the classroom that are interfering with learning. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement in our targeted academic areas for improvement?
- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement for the student group we have targeted for improvement?

The student body was asked to respond to an open ended question, "What is interfering with learning at our school?"

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council identified key areas that concerned them because they were interfering with students' ability to reach the established academic goals and more specifically, were interfering with the achievement of the targeted student group. The Full Faculty and Community Council agreed to address these "Areas of Concern" through targeted strategies next year.

The student needs assessment will be conducted again in the future to analyze the impact of the strategies included in this plan.

The Areas of Concern identified by our Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) are listed below.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... Lack of Parent Communication

Surveys of parents from 2009-2010 school year about communication

We are concerned that... Student Attendance is not important to parents

Surveys of parents from 2009-2010 school year about communication

We are concerned that... Student behavior interferes with learning

Noted by majority of staff and students Behavior Tier By Weekly Level Information

We are concerned that... Students are not actively engaged in their own learning

Surveys of students / Test Scores

We are concerned that... Students do not ask questions

On going Surveys of students during school year

Required Areas of Concern

A. Parent Involvement

- B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement**

- E. Parent Information Resource Center Website**

- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum**

- I. Focused Academic Area**

- J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers**

- J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals**

- K. Attracting Highly Qualified Teachers**

- L. Student Transition**

- M. Parent Notice - Assessment Results**

- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement**

- O. Extended Learning Activities**

- P. Teacher Mentoring Program**

- Q. School-Parent Involvement Policy**

- R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications**

- R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher**

- S. School-Parent Compact**

- T. Annual Parent Meeting**

- U. Focused Student Group**

- V. Peer Review for SIP**

Strategies

Strategies were established after identifying 1) Achievement Goals (see the Achievement Goals section), and 2) Areas of Concern (see the Needs Assessment - Areas of Concern section). Educators researched possible strategies for addressing each Area of Concern and then presented possible strategies to Full Faculty and Community Council for their consideration. The student body was provided with a list of Areas of Concern and asked to provide their input regarding strategies the school and community could employ to address the concerns. Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parent representatives) agreed to several strategies to implement next year.

Strategy Data: Strategy data will help the Full Faculty and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the success of the strategies in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables can be found in the Strategy Data section of this plan.

Strategy To-Do Lists: Each strategy has been assigned a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. The strategy to-do lists can be found in the Strategy To-Do List section of this plan.

The strategy titles and descriptions are listed below:

Local Strategies

Behavior Level Tier System

CES Staff implemented a level system in 2010-11 that uses multiple pieces of student data to provide a picture of how a student is performing behaviorally. This system will continue to be in place for the 2011-2012 school year.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

School wide Accelerated Reading Program

All teachers will implement an accelerated reading competition within the programs point system each month within their grade level.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Required Strategies

A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center

Parents will be given an opportunity to provide the school with an e-mail address to receive weekly information about grade level/teacher tests and projects. Teachers will submit weekly test and project information to the front office secretary staff, the principal, and school social worker. This will be done by the end of each week for the following week. The front office staff will send the information to parents by a master e-mail list with all parent e-mails on it. A hard copy will be posted each week in the hallway on the Parent Information Board for parents to access if they do not have an e-mail address. The school will ask for periodic updates for new e-mail addresses at the end of each nine week period through the school newsletter.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: General

B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement

In 2011 - 2012 teachers and staff will begin implementing recommended strategies to improve parental involvement in our school: 1. Distribute to families a list of staff contact information. 2. Change the Parent/Guardian language in all materials sent home to read "Dear CES Families". 3. Contact local hospital and mental health agency to see if they will conduct parenting workshops or information sessions. 4. Inform parents that student textbooks can be accessed online. 5. Send thank you notes on school stationery to parents who volunteer at school or chaperone field trips. 6. Provide parents with a directory of contact information for social and community service agencies.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

E. Parent Information Resource Center Website

Provide a link on the CES website to the Indiana Parent Information and Resource Center (PIRC). It will be part of our yearly Title One information that parents receive in the fall from the CES office.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development

This strategy was selected for inclusion in the School Improvement Plan after all teachers and the Community Council participated in discussions about students' need for academic rigor to prepare them for a global economy. Force field data was also reviewed to help identify strengths and weaknesses in our school's curriculum rigor. Limited Professional Development in Curriculum Mapping in Math took place during the 2010-2011 school year with the plan of full implementation during the 2011-2012 school year. The LCS Curriculum Director will be assisting in this PD and increased technology for instruction will also be included. Our school monitors three types of data to help us understand the degree to which this strategy is meeting student needs: 1) Strategy data helps us determine the degree to which the adults change their practices; 2) Force field data will help us determine the degree to which student needs have changed; 3) Achievement data will help us determine if the changes in adult practices and student needs had an impact on student achievement. Based on our follow-up data, which we will review at the end of each semester next school year, we will make adjustments to this strategy as needed

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

G. Attendance: CES Attendance

Attendance will be monitored on a daily basis in the office by the secretaries along with the school administration. In order to keep parent(s), guardian(s) informed as to their child's absences, the school will notify them upon the sixth (6th) absence from school during the school year. This will be done by an automated/personal phone call, home visit, or letter and may be documented in the child's attendance file. If a student accumulates a total of twelve (12) unexcused absences, a referral may be made to the Juvenile Probation Department. Severe cases of poor attendance will be prosecuted under Indiana's compulsory school attendance laws.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program

This strategy increases the quality in an academic area where our students achieve at a lower level in comparison to their performance in other academic areas. Prior to selecting this strategy for inclusion in the School Improvement Plan, all teachers and our Community Council (including parent representatives) completed three tasks: 1) They analyzed achievement data and identified an academic area in which our students are weak in comparison to their achievement in other areas; 2) They analyzed force field data (needs assessment) and identified student needs; 3) They explored a variety of possible strategies to address the student needs. Following these three steps, the faculty and Community Council selected this strategy for implementation next year. All Teachers will implement a SSR school wide reading program where for 20 minutes each day all students will read. Our school will monitor three types of data to help us understand the degree to which this strategy is meeting student needs: 1) Strategy data will help us determine the degree to which the adults changed their practices; 2) Force field data will help us determine the degree to which student needs changed; 3) Achievement data will help us determine if the changes in adult practices and student needs had an impact on student achievement. Based on our follow-up data which we will review at the end of each semester next school year, we will make adjustments to this strategy as appropriate.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers: Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers and Paraprofessionals

To ensure that all of our students are taught by highly qualified teachers and paraprofessionals, we will 1) hire only highly qualified teachers and paraprofessional, 2) help those who are not highly qualified develop and implement a personalized plan for becoming highly qualified, 3) make sure that our low-achieving students are taught by highly qualified teachers and paraprofessionals. Also, we will make parents aware of the school's progress in employing all high qualified teachers and professionals. CES will do this by handing out information in a letter on this with registration materials. Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary have evaluated and verified that all CES teachers are Highly Qualified as per Indiana Guidelines. The school corporation maintains a list of all teachers in each building that indicates whether or not each teacher holds a valid Indiana teaching license and records how each teacher has demonstrated subject matter knowledge (PRAXIS, NTS, HOUSSE, CAS). All future certified openings include in the qualifications that the applicant must be Highly Qualified.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals.

Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary School have evaluated and verified that all CES Paraprofessionals are Highly Qualified as per Indiana Guidelines. The school corporation maintains a list of all Paraprofessionals in each building that indicates whether or not each person has an Associates degree, two years of college passed, or has successfully passed the ParaPro exam. All classified openings include in the qualifications that the applicant must be Highly Qualified.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

K. Attracting Highly Qualified Teachers

To ensure that students are taught by highly qualified teachers, we will 1) review teacher qualifications annually, 2) assign only highly qualified teachers to low achieving students, and 3) encourage our highly qualified teachers to stay at our school by providing a supportive and caring environment, providing professional development opportunities, and providing financial incentives. CES will work to ensure that highly qualified teachers seek employment at the school. CES will work to support the district's efforts to ensure that highly qualified teachers seek employment at the school.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

L. Student Transition

Parents/Guardians of all students transitioning to Central Elementary will be given a packet of Title One Information at registration. The week before the first day of student attendance, Central Elementary will have an informational meeting for all parents transitioning to Central Elementary. Parents and students will also be invited to our annual Title One meeting and will be asked to be included in Supplemental Educational Services tutoring if the student is Free/Reduced Lunch and has: 1) the needed criteria of being at risk of not passing the ISTEP+ by 2nd grade Terra Nova scores at LPS, 2) Non passing ISTEP+ scores in LA and/or Math in grades 3/4, or 3) out of district/state Title One students who are identified by teachers as being at risk. Central Elementary will continue to work with Lawrenceburg Primary School to notify the community about kindergarten round up, kindergarten screening opportunities, and visits to LPS.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: Specific

M. Parent Notice - Assessment Results

CES will assure that all parents will receive their child's assessment results in a language that the parent can understand.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement

All parents will receive notice that school is in improvement (if needed) at registration. This will include the specific responsibilities of the school, LEA and SEA. After school tutoring in Reading and Math will be offered to those students that are Title One/Free and Reduced and did not pass ISTEP. Tutoring will begin in September once per week per grade level through the month of May.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help

Central Elementary will offer after school Homework Help that allows targeted children to get homework completed with adult assistance. It was implemented during the 2010-2011 school year. It is led by two teachers four times a week. The program begins at the beginning of September and bus transportation home for students is offered.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

P. Teacher Mentoring Program

Teachers will be randomly paired to participate in peer coaching two times a year. Pairings will be done in August and January with completed reflection forms submitted to Mrs. Friend by December and May. Teachers can choose to have a specific teaching method observed by their peer. Teachers will meet after the observation to discuss feedback.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Q. School-Parent Involvement Policy

The Title One School Wide policy at CES will be written or reviewed annually. The policy will be written with meaningful parent input. The Parent Involvement Policy will include the components listed on the DOE School Parent Involvement Policy Checklist. CES plans to disseminate the policy to all parents at registration.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications

Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary school will send all Title One parents a copy of the "Parent Right to Know Letter - Qualifications" each year in the August. If CES is "school wide" the letter will be provided to all parents at student registration. This notification informs the parents that they have a right to request and receive information in a timely manner regarding the professional qualifications of their student's classroom teachers including all components described on DOE'S Parent Right-to-Know Checklist".

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher

Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary school will send all Title One parents a copy of the "Parent Right to Know Letter - Highly Qualified Teacher" each year in the August. If CES is "school wide" the letter will be provided to all parents at student registration. The purpose of the letter is to send to parents timely notice that their child has not been taught for 4 or more consecutive weeks by a teacher who is not highly qualified.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

S. School-Parent Compact

Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary school will send all Title One parents a copy of the "School Parent Compact" each year in the August. The letter will be provided to all parents at student registration. a School-Parent Compact will include all components described on the DOE School-Parent Compact Checklist. Documentation will be kept and recorded of all parents that received the compact.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

T. Annual Parent Meeting

Lawrenceburg Community Schools and Central Elementary school will advertise and hold annual Title One Parent Meeting in August/September of each school year. These will include a morning and evening sessions to accommodate all parents. Documentation including an agenda and a parent sign-in sheet will be kept in preparation for a DOE monitoring visit. Notice will be given in a Title One packet, school newsletter and automated school messenger phone system.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: Specific

U. Focused Student Group

This strategy addresses the needs of a student group who are low achieving in comparison to their peers. Prior to selecting this strategy for inclusion in the School Improvement Plan, all teachers and the Community Council (including parent representatives) completed three tasks: 1) They analyzed achievement data and identified a student group who low achieving in comparison to their peers, 2) They analyzed force field data (needs assessment) that was disaggregated by the NCLB student groups to help them understand why students in the targeted student group are performing at a lower level; and 3) They explored a variety of possible strategies to address the targeted student group's needs. Following these three steps, the faculty and Community Council selected this strategy for implementation next year. Male high school athletes will read with targeted male students once per month with the idea to increase interest in reading with male students. It will be implemented the first nine weeks of the 2011-12 school year. Follow-up activities for the targeted male students will occur between visits. The media center will focus on books for male readers when purchasing books for the media center. This was already done to some degree the past three school years (08-09, 09-10, 10-11) Our school will monitor three types of data to help us understand the degree to which this strategy is meeting student needs: 1) Strategy data will help us determine the degree to which the adults changed their practices; 2) Force field data will help us determine the degree to which student needs changed; 3) Achievement data will help us determine if the changes in adult practices and student needs had an impact on student achievement. Based on our follow-up data, we will make adjustments to this strategy as appropriate.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

V. Peer Review for SIP

CES will send our completed SIP to school with similar demographics and arrange visit to one of those schools. This school will have been successful in meeting AYP and will have higher academic performance than CES. This will allow us to review the quality of our School Improvement Plan and have the partner school make suggestions as appropriate. SIP plan will be revised and performance will improve. Documentation will be kept in preparation for a DOE monitoring visit.

Impact Level: High Impact - Outside

Focus: General

Strategy Data

Strategy data will help the teachers and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the strategy's success in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables below indicate 1) the group of adults or students that are expected to change as a result of this strategy, 2) the desired practice(s), and 3) what the school will count to determine the degree to which the practice(s) changed.

Local Strategies

Behavior Level Tier System

Percent of staff consistently following through with Behavior Level System for students.

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 95% | 100% | | 100% | |

% of teachers implementing daily 20 minute morning meeting

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 80% | 100% | | 100% | |

% of staff observed participating in the re-teaching shuffle monthly evaluated each semester

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

The % of teachers observed by Principal/Guidance Counslor walk through using common language.

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

% of completed homework assignments by students with assistance of Safe Haven grant

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

% of students showing respect to staff and peers.

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 90% | 100% | | 100% | |

% of level 1 and 2 behaviors due to talking out and disruptions

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 5% | 0 | | 0 | |

% of students observed following school wide rules

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 90% | 100% | | 100% | |

Required Strategies

A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center

% of teachers who have at least one conversation with parent(s) of each student in the classroom

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 99% | 100% | | 100% | |

B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement

% of teachers implementing strategies that encourage parental involvement.

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development

% of teachers using math curriculum map

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 0% | 10% | | 20% | |

I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program

% of teachers who regularly model SSR to students

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help

% of all teachers reporting increase in grades of students involved with Homework Help

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

P. Teacher Mentoring Program

% of teachers who cite that they have tried a new strategy each nine weeks

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 70% | 100 | | 100 | |

U. Focused Student Group

% of teachers who increase their use of focused reading lessons for males

| Baseline 10-11 | Follow Up 11-12 1st Semester / 1st Trimester | | Follow Up 11-12 2nd Semester / Last Trimester | |
|-------------------|--|--------|---|--------|
| Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual |
| 100% | 100% | | 100% | |

To-Do List

After the strategies were selected for next year, each strategy was assigned to a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. At the beginning of next year, each strategy chair will go over their strategy's "To-Do" list with the entire faculty. Throughout the year, each strategy chair will check off each strategy activity as it is completed. At the end of the first semester, each strategy chair will present the percentage of completed activities to the Full Faculty and Community Council. If the strategy activities were not fully implemented, the chair will facilitate a discussion about changes that will occur during the second semester to ensure that all activities are completed.

Report by strategy. Showing completed and yet-to-be-completed action steps.

Behavior Level Tier System

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of completed homework assignments by students with assistance of Safe Haven grant **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of level 1 and 2 behaviors due to talking out and disruptions **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of staff observed participating in the re-teaching shuffle monthly evaluated each semester **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students observed following school wide rules **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of students showing respect to staff and peers. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers implementing daily 20 minute morning meeting **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: Percent of staff consistently following through with Behavior Level System for students. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of teachers observed by Principal/Guidance Counselor walk through using common language. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of completed homework assignments by students with assistance of Safe Haven grant **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of level 1 and 2 behaviors due to talking out and disruptions **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of staff observed participating in the re-teaching shuffle monthly evaluated each semester **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students observed following school wide rules **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of students showing respect to staff and peers. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers implementing daily 20 minute morning meeting **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: Percent of staff consistently following through with Behavior Level System for students. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of teachers observed by Principal/Guidance Counselor walk through using common language. **Person:** Bethany Eldridge

Educator Training - Parent Involvement

Aug 1, 2011: Order books for study/discussion groups **Person:** Ralph Haynes

Aug 15, 2011: Hand out syllabus for book/study group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Sep 15, 2011: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Oct 15, 2011: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Nov 15, 2011: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers implementing strategies that encourage parental involvement. **Person:** Daren Seipel

Dec 15, 2011: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Jan 15, 2012: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Feb 15, 2012: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Mar 15, 2012: Book Study / Discussion Group **Person:** Daren Seipel

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers implementing strategies that encourage parental involvement. **Person:** Daren Seipel

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

Aug 24, 2011: Staff Meeting **Person:** Carole Wintin

Sep 15, 2011: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes

Oct 20, 2011: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Nov 17, 2011: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers using math curriculum map **Person:** Samatha Walter
Dec 15, 2011: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Jan 19, 2012: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Feb 16, 2012: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Mar 15, 2012: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Apr 19, 2012: Monthly Meeting to discuss curriculum mapping **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers using math curriculum map **Person:** Samantha Walter

Extended Learning Activities

Sep 6, 2011: Monitor Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr
Nov 8, 2011: Monitor Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of all teachers reporting increase in grades of students involved with Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr
Jan 10, 2012: Monitor Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr
Mar 13, 2012: Monitor Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of all teachers reporting increase in grades of students involved with Homework Help **Person:** Angie Schmarr

Focused Academic Area

Sep 5, 2011: Monitor teacher use of SSR **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Oct 17, 2011: Collect nine week data on % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Nov 7, 2011: Monitor teacher use of SSR **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Jan 4, 2012: Collect nine week data on % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Jan 9, 2012: Monitor teacher use of SSR **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Mar 12, 2012: Collect nine week data on % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Mar 12, 2012: Monitor teacher use of SSR **Person:** Ralph Haynes
May 14, 2012: Collect nine week data on % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
May 14, 2012: Monitor teacher use of SSR **Person:** Ralph Haynes
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who regularly model SSR to students **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Focused Student Group

Sep 14, 2011: Provide interest surveys and shances for teachers to provide feedback and share ideas **Person:** Dedra Trossman
Oct 6, 2011: Complete reading inventories to document student interest **Person:** Jennifer Hanneken and Allyson Schleicher
Oct 14, 2011: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Oct 19, 2011: Compile a list of AR books at various levels that are a high interest of the male population **Person:** Jennifer Hanneken / Allyson Schleicher
Nov 14, 2011: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who increase their use of focused reading lessons for males **Person:** Kim Cherry
Dec 14, 2011: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Jan 14, 2012: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Feb 14, 2012: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Mar 14, 2012: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Apr 14, 2012: Student athletes from LHS will come over once per month to read with our students during school hours **Person:** Kim Cherry
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who increase their use of focused reading lessons for males **Person:** Kim Cherry

Parent Information Resource Center Website

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: The % of parents that check out the link to Indiana's Parent Resource Center **Person:**

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: The % of parents that check out the link to Indiana's Parent Resource Center **Person:**

Parent Involvement

Aug 22, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Aug 29, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Sep 5, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Sep 12, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Sep 19, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Sep 26, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Oct 3, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Oct 10, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Oct 17, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Oct 24, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Oct 31, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Nov 7, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Nov 14, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Nov 21, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Nov 28, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who have at least one conversation with parent(s) of each student in the classroom
Person: Ralph Haynes

Dec 5, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Dec 12, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Dec 19, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Dec 26, 2011: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jan 2, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jan 9, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jan 16, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jan 23, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jan 30, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Feb 6, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Feb 13, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Feb 20, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Feb 27, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Mar 5, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Mar 12, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Mar 19, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Mar 26, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Apr 2, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Apr 9, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Apr 16, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Apr 23, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Apr 30, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

May 7, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

May 14, 2012: Weekly Email of assignments **Person:** CES Office

Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who have at least one conversation with parent(s) of each student in the classroom
Person: Ralph Haynes

School wide Accelerated Reading Program

Aug 15, 2011: Design posters for each classroom in order for students to keep track of his or her AR points on a daily basis.

Person: Anita Steinmetz

Aug 15, 2011: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Aug 31, 2011: Print out reports from AR/Star reading programs every 9 weeks **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Sep 9, 2011: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Oct 14, 2011: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Oct 17, 2011: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Oct 21, 2011: Print out reports from AR/Star reading programs every 9 weeks **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Nov 11, 2011: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Dec 9, 2011: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Jan 2, 2012: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Jan 6, 2012: Print out reports from AR/Star reading programs every 9 weeks **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Jan 13, 2012: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Feb 10, 2012: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Mar 9, 2012: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Mar 12, 2012: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Mar 16, 2012: Print out reports from AR/Star reading programs every 9 weeks **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
Apr 13, 2012: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
May 11, 2012: Encourage classroom teachers by visiting classrooms monthly to check students' points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
May 18, 2012: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
May 18, 2012: Keep track on 9 week AR points **Person:** Anita Steinmetz
May 18, 2012: Print out reports from AR/Star reading programs every 9 weeks **Person:** Anita Steinmetz

Student Transition

Aug 10, 2011: Transition night **Person:** Ralph Haynes

Teacher Mentoring Program

Aug 25, 2011: Pair teachers **Person:** Abby Friend
Nov 30, 2011: Collect fall data: % of teachers who cite that they have tried a new strategy each nine weeks **Person:** Abby Friend
Dec 8, 2011: Collect Peer Observation and Reflections **Person:** Abby Friend
Jan 25, 2012: Pair teachers **Person:** Abby Friend
May 8, 2012: Peer Observation and Reflections **Person:** Abby Friend
Jun 30, 2012: Collect spring data: % of teachers who cite that they have tried a new strategy each nine weeks **Person:** Abby Friend

Professional Development Summary

REPORT IS NOT FINAL - NOT ALL STRATEGIES HAVE MET CRITERIA

Alignment with the School Improvement Plan: The Professional Development Plan is directly aligned with strategies found in the School Improvement Plan. Professional development activities enhance educator's knowledge within one or more of the following areas: a) expectations, b) curriculum content, c) classroom instruction, d) classroom assessment, e) extra help, f) guidance, and g) the learning environment. The amount of funding allocated for each professional development activity and the funding source is listed for each professional development activity.

Effective Learning Process: The Professional Development Plan was written by teacher-leaders and includes activities that will help teachers develop the knowledge and skills needed to successfully implement the strategies listed in the School Improvement Plan. The plan includes a variety of formats for professional development. Activities have been embedded in the school day as appropriate. The plan also includes activities designed to provide teachers with feedback over time. Finally, "strategy data" is collected for comprehensive strategies that identifies the degree to which the strategy has brought about a positive changes in adult practices.

Professional Growth Environment: Each strategy is supported by a "strategy chair." Strategy chairs are responsible for 1) writing (with support from colleagues) a strategy plan including on-going professional development activities, anti-resistance, and evaluation activities, 2) providing on-going mentoring to their colleagues in the implementation of the strategy, 3) analyzing the effectiveness of the strategy, and 4) making a recommendation to the faculty with respect to whether or not the strategy should be sustained.

Behavior Level Tier System

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

School wide Accelerated Reading Program

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

L. Student Transition

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

P. Teacher Mentoring Program

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

U. Focused Student Group

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Relationship Report:

Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals

This report shows the relationship between the Areas of Concern, Strategies, and Achievement Goals. For each Area of Concern, the report shows 1) the strategies that will enable the school to successfully address the concern and 2) the achievement goals that will be positively impacted when the concern is successfully addressed.

Local Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... Lack of Parent Communication

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- T. Annual Parent Meeting
- B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement
- E. Parent Information Resource Center Website
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center
- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement
- R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher
- R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications
- S. School-Parent Compact
- L. Student Transition

We are concerned that... Student Attendance is not important to parents

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- T. Annual Parent Meeting
- L. Student Transition

We are concerned that... Student behavior interferes with learning

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA
- Special Education Males -- % passing ISTEP+ LA Writing Applications
- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Behavior Level Tier System

We are concerned that... Students are not actively engaged in their own learning

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- School wide Accelerated Reading Program
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development
- O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help
- I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program
- U. Focused Student Group
- J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals.
- J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers: Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers and Paraprofessionals
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center
- V. Peer Review for SIP
- P. Teacher Mentoring Program

We are concerned that... Students do not ask questions

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development
- U. Focused Student Group
- P. Teacher Mentoring Program

Required Areas of Concern

A. Parent Involvement (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Behavior Level Tier System
- T. Annual Parent Meeting
- E. Parent Information Resource Center Website
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center
- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement
- R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher
- R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications
- S. School-Parent Compact
- L. Student Transition

B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- B. Educator Training - Parent Involvement
- I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program
- J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals.
- J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers: Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers and Paraprofessionals
- L. Student Transition
- P. Teacher Mentoring Program

E. Parent Information Resource Center Website (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- E. Parent Information Resource Center Website
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center

F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum (IN Rules, SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA
- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development
- J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals.
- J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers: Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers and Paraprofessionals

I. Focused Academic Area (IN Rules, SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Special Education Males -- % passing ISTEP+ LA Writing Applications
- Special Education Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- School wide Accelerated Reading Program
- I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program
- U. Focused Student Group
- V. Peer Review for SIP

J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help
- J. Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers: Instruction by Highly Qualified Teachers and Paraprofessionals

J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- J2. Instruction by Highly Qualified Paraprofessionals.

K. Attracting Highly Qualified Teachers (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- K. Attracting Highly Qualified Teachers

L. Student Transition (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- L. Student Transition

M. Parent Notice - Assessment Results (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- M. Parent Notice - Assessment Results

N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement (In Improvement)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement

O. Extended Learning Activities (In Improvement)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- School wide Accelerated Reading Program
- F. Encourage Rigorous Curriculum: Curriculum Mapping Professional Development
- O. Extended Learning Activities: Homework Help
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center

P. Teacher Mentoring Program (In Improvement)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- Mastering the reading comprehension portion of the ISTEP+ LA
- All Students -- Mastering the writing process portion on the ISTEP+ LA

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program
- P. Teacher Mentoring Program

Q. School-Parent Involvement Policy (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Q. School-Parent Involvement Policy

R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement
- R1. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Qualifications

R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement
- R2. Parent Right-to-Know Letter - Non-Qualified Teacher

S. School-Parent Compact (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- S. School-Parent Compact

T. Annual Parent Meeting (SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- T. Annual Parent Meeting
- L. Student Transition

U. Focused Student Group (IN Rules, SW)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- I. Focused Academic Area: School Wide SSR Reading Program
- U. Focused Student Group
- A. Parent Involvement: Parent - Information Center
- N. Parent Notice - School in Improvement
- V. Peer Review for SIP

V. Peer Review for SIP (In Improvement)

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ LA
- Title One / Free and Reduced Students -- % passing the ISTEP+ Math

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- V. Peer Review for SIP
- P. Teacher Mentoring Program

Force Field Excerpt

The Force Field reports are lengthy data reports that help the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) identify Areas of Concern (student needs). The Force Field excerpt found below contains information that must be included in the School Improvement Plan to meet various requirements of Public Law 221 and if applicable, Title I Schoolwide.

| | |
|--|--|
| A. Where is the public copy of your school's curriculum located? | Superintendent's Office / CES Office / Website |
| <p>B. What rules or statutes would you like to waive in order to promote student learning?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify the specific statute and and/or rule you wish to waive. ● Identify the educational strategies this waiver would allow you to implement that could not be implemented under current statute and rule. ● Explain the benefit to student achievement. ● Describe the evaluation process that would be used to measure the success of these strategies. | Not Applicable |
| C. Are your school's attendance rates supportive of learning? What activities will you implement next year to increase or maintain your attendance rate? | Yes |
| D. List the titles of the assessment instruments that are used in addition to ISTEP+ / ECA and give a brief description of each. | <p>Acuity Plato Dibels Terra Nova CoGat AimsWeb</p> |
| E. List the needs assessments used in your school to help you identify areas that are interfering with learning. | <p>InSAI Expectations Assessment InSAI Curriculum Assessment InSAI Instruction Assessment InSAI Classroom Assessment InSAI Extra Help Assessment InSAI Guidance Assessment InSAI Environment Assessment</p> |
| F. List the programs that will be consolidated under the school-wide plan (if applicable). If not consolidating programs, please explain why not. | <p>Title one teacher is working with LA/Math at CES. Her schedule has been adjusted to better divide her time between LPS and CES. Expanding RTI to better meet the needs of struggling students including math and behavior interventions. Going to school wide will expand our population that we can provide services for</p> |
| G. Has your district set aside 10% of the district's Title I funds to support high quality professional development in your school and other schools in improvement located in your district for the purpose of helping the schools meet adequate yearly progress? | Yes |

Continuous Improvement Timeline

| DISCUSSION TOPIC | FACILITATOR TRAINING (Steering Teams) | | | FACULTY AND COMMUNITY COUNCIL DISCUSSIONS |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | ORIGINAL PLAN | REVIEW & REVISE | | |
| | 1st Year Schools | 2nd and 3rd Year Schools | Alumni Schools | |
| New Principal and Steering Team Member Training | n/a | Aug | Aug | n/a |
| First Year: Rationale + Organizational Structure After First Year: Kick Off / Year End Data Review (Transcripts / ISTEP / ECA) | Session 1 - Aug | Session 1 - Aug | Session 1 – Aug AM | First 2 weeks of Sept |
| Vision | Session 2 – Sept | n/a | Session 1 – Aug PM | First 2 weeks of Oct* |
| Data Targets | Session 3 - Oct | Session 1 - Aug | Session 1 – Aug AM | First 2 weeks in Nov |
| Areas of Concern | Session 4 - Dec | n/a | Session 2 – Dec PM | First 2 weeks in Jan* |
| Strategy Selection | Session 5 - Jan | Session 2 - Dec | Session 2 – Dec AM | First 2 weeks in Feb |
| Conference on Learning | Jan | Jan | Jan | |
| Strategy Selection: Title & Description | Feb | Feb | n/a | First 2 weeks in Mar |
| Strategy Implementation Plans | Mar Strategy Chair Training | Mar Strategy Chair Training | Optional Strategy Chair Training | First week of Apr |

* Our school will complete these tasks every three years
We are next scheduled to complete these tasks during the 2012-2013 school year