

School Improvement Plan - 2014-2015

Generated on May 30, 2014 at 12:25 PM

Brownstown Central Middle Sch (3107)

Brownstown Cnt Com Sch Corp

Brownstown, IN

This plan will be revised annually. Updates may be found each June at <http://www.asainstitute.org/publicsip>. The plan was developed with assistance from the:



American Student Achievement Institute
3925 E. Hagan St. Suite 105
Bloomington, IN 47401
Phone: 812-349-4142
Email: asai@asainstitute.org
Website: <http://www.asainstitute.org>

Table of Contents

- School Improvement Plan Introduction 3
- Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan? 4
- Vision Statement 6
- Academic Goals 7
- Comprehensive Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern 9
- Strategies 10
- Strategy Data 12
- To-Do List 14
- Professional Development Summary 17
- Relationship Report: Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals 19
- Force Field Excerpt 21
- Continuous Improvement Timeline 22

School Improvement Plan Introduction

Brownstown Central Middle Sch

This school improvement plan was developed using a vision-based and data-driven process called *Vision-to-Action* that was developed by the American Student Achievement Institute. In addition to containing the strategies our school and community identified as key to raising student achievement and closing achievement gaps in our school, this plan contains strategies that meet the expectations of:

- American Student Achievement Institute
- Indiana Rules and Regulations
- Priority

Participation

The following people were involved in developing this School Improvement Plan

- Teachers
- Students
- Parent representatives
- Community representatives

Process

Those involved in developing this School Improvement Plan participated in a series of discussions related to the *Vision-to-Action* steps:

1. Establish a local culture of high expectations
2. Create a common vision for student success
3. Analyze achievement data and set realistic data targets for each of the next three years
4. Analyze force field data and identify concerns within the following areas.
 - Academic expectations
 - Curriculum content
 - Instructional practices
 - Classroom assessment
 - Extra help
 - Student guidance
 - Learning environment
5. Research strategies to address our concerns and select high-leverage strategies to implement
6. Develop a to-do list for each strategy

Using Data for Decision-Marking

The Vision-to-Action process relies heavily on data as a foundation for decision-making.

1. Achievement Data – To what degree are students prepared for success at the next educational level, and ultimately for success in postsecondary education and a global economy?
2. Force Field Data – What conditions in the school and community interfere with high student achievement?
3. Strategy Data – Is the strategy having the desired impact on adults and students?

Implementation Next Year

Our work next year will be guided by the to-do list that we have developed for each strategy.

Questions about the School Improvement Plan should be directed to the building principal.

Who Wrote this School Improvement Plan?

Many individuals were involved in the creation of this school improvement plan.

Groups and Roles

The **Steering Team** is comprised of educators, a parent, and a business representative. The Steering Team's role is to help the Full Faculty and Community Council 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Full Faculty** is made up of all educators. The role of the Full Faculty is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Community Council for decisions related to school improvement.

The **Community Council** is comprised of parents and representatives of community stakeholder groups. All parents were invited to be part of the Community Council and any interested parent was welcome to join the Council. The role of the Community Council is to 1) analyze data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) reach consensus with each other and the Full Faculty in decisions related to school improvement.

The **Student Body** includes every student enrolled in the school. The role of the Student Body is to 1) review data, 2) discuss key questions related to school improvement planning in an open and honest manner, and 3) provide input for the Full Faculty and Community Council.

Discussion Topics

The Full Faculty, Community Council and Student Body participated in guided discussions about the following topics. Written summaries of all discussions were developed and are on file at the school.

- Discussion 1: Global Economics
- Discussion 2: Vision for Student Success
- Discussion 3: Achievement Data Analysis and Achievement Goals
- Discussion 4: Force Field Data Analysis (student needs) and Areas of Concern
- Discussion 5: Strategy Selection

Steering Team

- -
- Gina Bane - Community Representative (Business)
- Jessica Fritz - Teacher
- Jay Grider - Community Representative (Business)
- Alicia McCrary - School Counselor
- Pat Sovern - Teacher
- Mendy Stahl - Teacher
- Greg Walker - Administrator

Strategy Chairs

- Karen Ault
- Corey Lanier
- Alicia McCrary
- Trent Shelton
- Pat Sovern
- Cathi Wheeler

Community Council

- Brenda Bean - Parent
- Kandi Bollinger - Parent and Medical Field
- Margo Brewer - Brownstown Town Board, Substitute Teacher
- Rev. Chris Criminger - Parent geog. regions, Comm. Agency rep, Clergy

- Dan Hall - School Board representative
- Jerry Hounshel - Community agency representative
- Dana Jester - Parent of different grade levels
- Derrick Koch - Parent and Counselor at receiving school
- James Lasher - Military rep., Parent of students rep. diff. gr. levels,
- Shawna McCool - Parent
- Lin Montgomery - Community agency rep.- Drug-Free Council, State Program Rep.- State Drug Education
- Jim Myers - Parent
- John Nolting - Town board, business
- Jamie Pyle - parent
- Ada Reynolds - Parent
- Tammy Rogers - Parent
- Tom Smith - Parent/Medical Field
- Mary Ann Spray - School board representative, Community agency representative
- Jodi Tiemeyer - Parent
- Stan Tormoehlen - Business and Parent
- Tom Wright - Service club rep., Comm. agency rep., Gov. official, DARE pgm. & School Safety Council, Journalist

Vision Statement

The Vision Statement was developed by all educators, all students, and members of the community and is reviewed and updated annually. The foundation of the statement identifies the core convictions upon which this school improvement plan is built and answers the question, "What do our students deserve in order to be successful learners, responsible citizens, and productive members of a global economy?" The second part of the vision statement describes ideal adults who are striving to provide students with the things they deserve in order to achieve at a rigorous level. The third part of the vision statement describes ideal students in a school and community where adults live by their convictions. The last part of the vision statement includes ideal data that represent the community's dreams. This lofty data serves as the focal point for the remainder of the school improvement plan and requires the school and community to work toward every student succeeding at a high level.

We believe all students deserve:

• A clean, safe environment that is pleasant, drug-free, stimulating, consistent, and structured with defined boundaries for behavior, • Opportunities to learn at their individual levels successfully, to create confidence in their abilities and talents, to participate in extra-curricular activities as well as cultural and social experiences, to receive individual attention, extra help, and time with the counselor, as needed, to have hygiene needs met, to belong and be a kid, to have access to needed school supplies, • A curriculum that is challenging yet attainable, that provides opportunity to use multiple information sources, and that incorporates high expectations for all students, • Respect and equal treatment by everyone, • An education by staff who are competent, positive role models, who are considerate of students's needs, • A supportive community, • A safe, stable home environment with parents/guardians who are positive role models and actively involved in the child's life and education, • Consideration for family time • An environment where the child is held accountable for his actions and readiness to learn.

All adults in our community are living by these core convictions daily and as a result, show the following attitudes and actions:

• Monitor environments for safety, cleanliness, and consistency, • Provide guidance, extra help, opportunities for students to show their talents, skills, and progress, • Are personable, flexible, knowledgeable, and understanding, • Educate creatively by engaging students in critical thinking and problem solving, • Expect completed homework and support students through clear goals and expectations, • Accept responsibility for all students's education, • Treat all students with acceptance, respect, and equality, • Show support and take responsibility for the educational development of students • Ensure that the children's hygiene and basic health needs are met • Serve as mentors who demonstrate professional behavior by being responsible, punctual, and working hard, • Smile • Listen and be fully engaged with the child, • Support the attendance goals of the state and federal education mandates.

In this environment where all adults are living by their core convictions, all students:

• Are clean, alert, well-fed, healthy, happy, and drug-free, • Take advantage of extra help and opportunities for involvement in extra-curricular activities and are knowledgeable about post-secondary educational and career opportunities, • Make full use of the diverse curriculum and are able to apply knowledge to solve problems, • Make extra effort and go beyond the basic expectations, • Show their love of learning by attending every day, listening, asking questions, working responsibly, volunteering, setting high goals and achieving at that level, and passing ISTEP+, • Are knowledgeable about technology, • Show responsibility by using their planner, completing all assignments on time and to the best of their abilities, being on level each review, and making the best grades that they can, • Are honest, do not cheat, and have a positive attitude and good work ethic, • Respect themselves, others, and their environments, • Use manners, help, encourage, appreciate, and support others.

As a result of these efforts, our school's student achievement data is as follows:

- % of students who make all C's or better: 100%
- % of students who pass all ISTEP+ content areas: 100%
- % of students who meet AR requirements: 100%
- % of students who meet the state and federal mandates for attendance: 100%
- % of students who are on-level for academics: 100%
- % of students who are 8th graders enrolled in Algebra I who pass the ECA: 100%

Academic Goals

The academic goals were established after a thorough analysis of multiple achievement data assessments including the state math assessment, state English / language arts assessment, student mastery of each Indiana Academic Standard, and report card grades. A full list of academic assessments is found in the Force Field Excerpts section of this plan. Other types of data are discussed in the Needs Assessment section of this plan.

The Full Faculty, Community Council (including parent representatives), and Student Body were involved in the analysis of the achievement data. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- How does this data compare to our vision (ideal) data?
- What specific data fields disturb us?
- What specific data fields please us?
- Where do we observe achievement gaps?

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) first identified a small number of broad academic areas on which the school and community agreed to focus their energy next year. Then, the teachers and Community Council members "drilled down" the data within those areas and identified specific courses or academic standards that, if improved, would impact the broader academic areas. Both the broad academic areas and the specific academic areas are included as goals below. Data targets that the educators and community members felt most strongly about were then provided to the students for their input. Finally, the educators and community members agreed to numerical goals that the school would strive to reach by the end of next school year. These goals fall between the current student data and the vision (ideal) data.

When reading the data tables below, please note that the title of each table includes:

- The group of students targeted to meet the goal
- The benchmark that those students will reach

The numbers in the tables reflect baseline data for past years and the target data for future years. The "vision data" represents our ideal data. It is the mission of our school to work toward this ideal.

All Free/Reduced Students - % passing LA ISTEP Tests--AYP

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
70	66	69	67	70		81		85		88		100

All Free/Reduced Students - % passing Math ISTEP Tests--AYP

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
76	70	73	73	77		85		90		93		100

All Special Needs students - passing LA ISTEP+ Test

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
38	29	32	28	32		46		55		58		100

All Students - % making all C's or better on Trimester 1 & 2 grades in the four tested ISTEP subjects: LA, Math, Science and Social Studies

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
74	72	75	72	75	65	81		85		88		100

All Students - % passing Writing Applications standard on LA ISTEP Tests (seat data)

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
	66	69	78	80		77		81		84		100

Special Needs students - % passing LA Standard #1 on ISTEP+ (not IMAST) --READING: Word Recognition, Fluency, and Vocabulary Development

2011-2012		2012-2013		2013-2014		2014-2015		2015-2016		2016-2017		Vision Data
Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	
			39	50		75		81		84		100

Comprehensive Needs Assessment / Areas of Concern

The Areas of Concern were established after a comprehensive needs assessment that included a survey of all educators, all students, parents and community members. Survey data for students and parents were disaggregated by ethnicity and economic level. The needs assessment also included a collection of data that reflected specific student needs (e.g. attendance rate), and a summary of discussion responses to inquiry questions about areas that might be interfering with learning in the school and community. The collection of data provided information about the school's expectations for student achievement, curriculum, instruction, classroom assessment, extra help, student guidance and the learning environment.

All educators and the Community Council (including parent representatives) were involved in the analysis of the student needs data. Specific attention was given to areas within the classroom that are interfering with learning. The following questions were addressed during the data analysis.

- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement in our targeted academic areas for improvement?
- What data indicate an area that is interfering with achievement for the student group we have targeted for improvement?

The student body was asked to respond to an open ended question, "What is interfering with learning at our school?"

Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council identified key areas that concerned them because they were interfering with students' ability to reach the established academic goals and more specifically, were interfering with the achievement of the targeted student group. The Full Faculty and Community Council agreed to address these Areas of Concern through targeted strategies next year.

The student needs assessment will be conducted again in the future to analyze the impact of the strategies included in this plan.

The Areas of Concern identified by our Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) are listed below.

Areas of Concern

We are concerned that... Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

We are concerned that... Attendance

We are concerned that... Focused Academic Area

We are concerned that... Focused Student Group

We are concerned that... Graduation Plan

Strategies

Strategies were established after identifying 1) Achievement Goals (see the Achievement Goals section), and 2) Areas of Concern (see the Needs Assessment - Areas of Concern section). Educators researched possible strategies for addressing each Area of Concern and then presented possible strategies to Full Faculty and Community Council for their consideration. The student body was provided with a list of Areas of Concern and asked to provide their input regarding strategies the school and community could employ to address the concerns. Using a consensus based decision-making model, the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parent representatives) agreed to several strategies to implement next year.

Strategy Data: Strategy data will help the Full Faculty and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the success of the strategies in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables can be found in the Strategy Data section of this plan.

Strategy To-Do Lists: Each strategy has been assigned a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. The strategy to-do lists can be found in the Strategy To-Do List section of this plan.

The strategy titles and descriptions are listed below:

Strategies

Attendance

The expectation for every student is 95% attendance or no more than 3 absences during a trimester. When a student has accumulated 3 absences in a trimester, attendance personnel will send a letter to the parent/guardian or make a parent contact. Reaching 5 absences in a trimester will result in a mandatory meeting of the parent/guardian and student with Attendance Review Committee. This committee may consist of the attendance personnel, administrator, counselor, and teacher(s). The committee may recommend the following: 1)Expulsion for the remainder of the trimester or 2)Written contract as an individual intervention plan. The recommendation of this committee will be presented to the principal or his designee for final determination. The results will be forwarded to the appropriate staff.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

This Homework Intervention Plan is designed to target students who are not completing homework on a consistent level with an added emphasis on free and reduced lunch students. It is a tiered program that identifies students with an excessive amount of missing or incomplete assignments. Each tier progresses, beginning with the loss of morning time progressing up to supervised after school study time. Teachers monitor these sessions, check for homework completion, and provide homework assistance as needed. Students are evaluated on a regular basis.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: General

Focused Academic Area - Literacy and Writing

All certified teachers will incorporate a minimum of one constructed and one extended response assignment into their lessons, both to be completed by the end of Trimester 2. Teachers will use their own subjects to design these response assignments. The Constructed Response (CR) assignment will align with the IDOE Reading Standards for Literacy, Key Ideas and Details, including 6-8.RT.1, 6-8.RT.2, and 6-8.RT.3. The Extended Response (ER) assignment will align with the IDOE Writing Standards for Literacy Text Types and Purposes, including 6-8.WT.1, 6-8.WT.2, and 6-8.WT.3. Using the ISTEP+ two point Constructed Response and the four point Extended Response rubrics, teachers will evaluate responses and share evaluation results with students to assist them in understanding how to improve. This will be completed by the end of Trimester 2. Since this Constructed and Extended Response practice will occur in all grades and subjects, all students will practice the skills required for success at a rigorous level.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Focused Student Group

All 6th grade students will complete a concise reading assessment. Those students who show significant need (defined as 2 or more grade levels below current grade level) will be enrolled in an effective scientifically-based reading instructional program. Students will receive extra help and extra time on basic word recognition skills, vocabulary, and fluency skills. The reading teacher will assist students in setting individual goals for reading and will track their progress, growth, and results. This strategy will be implemented for all students but will impact free-reduced lunch students and special education students to a greater degree.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Graduation Plan

All students will develop a graduation plan that will start when they enter sixth grade and will be updated annually through eighth grade. These plans will be housed with the students' permanent records and will be developed by the students with help from the Guidance Counselor and other staff. At each grade level, the students will complete selected activities including career interest inventories, a learning style assessment, personality style assessment, and they will learn about the 21st Century Scholars program each year and complete an application if their families are eligible. As well, they will learn about other available post-secondary funding sources and understand the diploma types and start to make decisions that are best for them. The students will begin to develop an awareness of their personal strengths, abilities and interests as they commit to graduate from high school. The students will additionally acknowledge the important role of good citizenship, school attendance and learn and use good study habits. The graduation plans will be part of each individual conference that the Guidance Counselor will have with each eighth grade student prior to their diploma selection and high school registration.

Impact Level: Low Impact

Focus: General

Rigor Across the Curriculum

Supported by focused professional development, all certified teachers will create and implement at least one specifically developed activity demonstrating rigor into their curriculum for trimesters one and two.

Impact Level: High Impact - Inside

Focus: Specific

Strategy Data

Strategy data will help the teachers and Community Council determine the degree to which the strategy has changed adult practices. Baseline data was collected this year. Follow-up data will be analyzed at the end of each semester next year. Combined with the needs assessment data (including student surveys) and the achievement data, our school will have a good understanding of the strategy's success in meeting student needs. The strategy data tables below indicate 1) the group of adults or students that are expected to change as a result of this strategy, 2) the desired practice(s), and 3) what the school will count to determine the degree to which the practice(s) changed.

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

% of teachers supervising H.I.P.

Baseline 13-14	Follow Up 14-15 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 14-15 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
100	100		100	

% of students completing homework on a consistent level

Baseline 13-14	Follow Up 14-15 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 14-15 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
74	80		85	

Focused Academic Area - Literacy and Writing

Percent of teachers submitting student writing samples

Baseline 13-14	Follow Up 14-15 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 14-15 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
80	100		100	

Focused Student Group

% of teachers who differentiate instruction based upon data regarding students' reading needs.

Baseline 13-14	Follow Up 14-15 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 14-15 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
92	100		100	

% of special needs students who pass the LA Standard #1 on the ISTEP+

Baseline 13-14	Follow Up 14-15 1st Semester / 1st Trimester		Follow Up 14-15 2nd Semester / Last Trimester	
Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
39	50		50	

To-Do List

After the strategies were selected for next year, each strategy was assigned to a Strategy Chair. In some cases, the Strategy Chair asked others to form a Strategy Task Force. For almost all strategies, the Strategy Chair developed a detailed "To-Do" list that includes activities related to 1) implementation, 2) professional development, 3) anti-resistance (helping those impacted by the strategy to embrace the strategy), and 4) strategy evaluation. At the beginning of next year, each strategy chair will go over their strategy's "To-Do" list with the entire faculty. Throughout the year, each strategy chair will check off each strategy activity as it is completed. At the end of the first semester, each strategy chair will present the percentage of completed activities to the Full Faculty and Community Council. If the strategy activities were not fully implemented, the chair will facilitate a discussion about changes that will occur during the second semester to ensure that all activities are completed.

Report by strategy. Showing completed and yet-to-be-completed action steps.

Attendance

Aug 15, 2014: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Sep 19, 2014: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Oct 17, 2014: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Nov 21, 2014: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Dec 19, 2014: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Jan 16, 2015: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Feb 20, 2015: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Mar 20, 2015: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Apr 17, 2015: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

May 15, 2015: Monitor daily attendance and intervene as needed **Person:** Trent Shelton

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

May 25, 2014: Update record keeping binder **Person:** Alisha Griffin

Aug 10, 2014: Revise Homework Intervention Plan **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Sep 1, 2014: Create HIP supervision schedule **Person:** Blakli Wehmiller

Sep 1, 2014: Place HIP binder and materials in office **Person:** Alisha Griffin

Sep 14, 2014: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Sep 14, 2014: Re-identify students who are not completing homework on a consistent basis **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Oct 14, 2014: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Nov 14, 2014: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Nov 30, 2014: Collect fall data: % of students completing homework on a consistent level **Person:**

Nov 30, 2014: Collect fall data: % of teachers supervising H.I.P. **Person:**

Dec 14, 2014: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Jan 14, 2015: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Feb 14, 2015: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Mar 14, 2015: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Apr 14, 2015: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

May 14, 2015: Intervention for students with homework issues **Person:** Cathi Wheeler

Jun 30, 2015: Collect spring data: % of students completing homework on a consistent level **Person:**

Jun 30, 2015: Collect spring data: % of teachers supervising H.I.P. **Person:**

Focused Academic Area - Literacy and Writing

, 2014: Assist **Person:**

Aug 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Aug 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Sep 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Oct 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Nov 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Nov 30, 2014: Collect fall data: Percent of teachers submitting student writing samples **Person:** Karen Ault

Dec 10, 2014: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Jan 10, 2015: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Feb 10, 2015: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault

Mar 10, 2015: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault
Apr 10, 2015: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault
May 10, 2015: Assist teachers as needed in the implementation of the writing strategy **Person:** Karen Ault
Jun 30, 2015: Collect spring data: Percent of teachers submitting student writing samples **Person:** Karen Ault

Focused Student Group

May 25, 2014: Review student progress toward reading goal with Focused Student Group **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
May 25, 2014: Set new reading goals for students for the summer and fall **Person:** Brandon Allman
Aug 5, 2014: Review ISTEP+ results for special education students **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
Sep 5, 2014: Assess students for reading levels and group students based on results **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Sep 26, 2014: Inform all staff of lexile levels determined by reading assessment **Person:** Pat Sovern
Oct 3, 2014: Administer summative assessments to determine mastery **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Oct 3, 2014: Review Language Arts Acuity A for special education students, determine specialty reading class changes **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Oct 17, 2014: Hold progress meeting with grade-level teachers **Person:** Pat Sovern
Nov 25, 2014: Track student progress during trimester 1 **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
Nov 30, 2014: Collect fall data: % of special needs students who pass the LA Standard #1 on the ISTEP+ **Person:** Greg Walker
Nov 30, 2014: Collect fall data: % of teachers who differentiate instruction based upon data regarding students' reading needs. **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
Dec 5, 2014: Review Language Arts Acuity B for special education students, determine specialty reading class changes **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Dec 19, 2014: Hold progress meeting with grade-level teachers **Person:** Pat Sovern
Jan 16, 2015: Administer summative assessments to determine mastery **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Feb 20, 2015: Hold progress meeting with grade-level teachers **Person:** Pat Sovern
Feb 20, 2015: Review Language Arts Acuity C for special education students, determine specialty reading class changes **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Feb 25, 2015: Track student progress during trimester 2 **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
Apr 24, 2015: Hold progress meeting with grade-level teachers **Person:** Pat Sovern
May 8, 2015: Track student progress during trimester 3 **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell
May 25, 2015: Administer End of the Year reading fluency/comprehension test to determine growth throughout the year **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
May 25, 2015: Review individual reading goals and set new goals for the summer and fall **Person:** Pat Sovern, LA teachers
Jun 30, 2015: Collect spring data: % of special needs students who pass the LA Standard #1 on the ISTEP+ **Person:** Greg Walker
Jun 30, 2015: Collect spring data: % of teachers who differentiate instruction based upon data regarding students' reading needs. **Person:** Pat Sovern, Sam Terrell

Graduation Plan

Aug 5, 2014: Facilitate the signing of the 6th grade graduation promises at 6th grade Orientation **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Aug 5, 2014: Provide and explain to 6th grade parents and students about Century 21 Scholars program **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Aug 16, 2014: Discuss the role of good citizenship, attendance, and good study habits with 6th grade students **Person:** 6th grade teachers
Sep 26, 2014: Visit 6th grade classrooms for a followup to the 21st Century Scholars application **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Oct 3, 2014: Grade 8 -- Coordinate the Finance Park curriculum and field trip with the business education department **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Oct 3, 2014: Grade 8 -- Organize a field trip to Ivy Tech, IUPUI, Jackson County Learning Center, and Industries in Seymour **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Oct 17, 2014: Grade 7 -- Contact student coordinator for the 21st Century Scholars program to set her presentations to the 7th grade students **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Nov 1, 2014: Grade 8 -- Conduct career interest inventories with grade 8 students **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Nov 1, 2014: Grade 8 -- Deliver the previously prepared powerpoint on the Global economy and the importance of higher education **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Nov 1, 2014: Grade 8 -- present high school diploma types to all 8th grade students **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Nov 11, 2014: Grade 8 -- facilitate the completion of the graduation plan insert data with all students **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Jan 9, 2015: Arrange high school scheduling with high school guidance counselors **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Jan 9, 2015: Grade 8 -- complete individual 8th grade conferences to review the graduation plan, select diploma type, and prepare for high school scheduling **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Jan 16, 2015: Grade 8 -- transfer graduation plans of grade 8 to the high school to use during parent/student scheduling appointments **Person:** Alicia McCrary

Jan 23, 2015: Grade 6 -- Conduct career interest inventories **Person:** Susan Lawson
Jan 23, 2015: Grade 6 -- Start the graduation plan insert data **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Feb 20, 2015: Grade 7 -- Learning styles assessment for all 7th grade students **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Feb 20, 2015: Grade 8 -- Conduct classroom guidance with all 8th graders on their personal strengths, abilities, and interests as they begin to explore careers for their future **Person:** Alicia McCrary
Feb 27, 2015: Grade 8 -- Conduct career exploration via Learn More Indiana or the Indiana Career Explorer **Person:** John Lawson
Mar 2, 2015: Grade 7 -- Conduct the drive of your life activity with all 7th graders **Person:** John Lawson
Apr 24, 2015: Grade 7 -- Print out a copy of the personality style for all 7th graders to file in the Graduation plan **Person:** John Lawson
May 15, 2015: Grade 7 -- Record learning styles and personality styles on Graduation plans inserts **Person:** Alicia McCrary
May 20, 2015: Evaluate the Graduation plan components with high school guidance counselors and administrators **Person:** Alicia McCrary
May 22, 2015: Grade 7 -- Provide instruction on post-secondary funding sources **Person:** Alicia McCrary
May 25, 2015: Grade 7 and 8 -- Conduct career exploration via Learn More Indiana or the Indiana Career explorer **Person:** John Lawson

Rigor Across the Curriculum

Jun 1, 2014: Develop a webpage to house electronic resources **Person:** Karen Ault
Jun 1, 2014: Research on rigor and rigorous curriculum, create our definition of rigor **Person:** Karen Ault
Jul 6, 2014: Research on rigor and rigorous curriculum, create our definition of rigor **Person:** Karen Ault
Jul 31, 2014: Create a universal statement defining rigor in the classroom to meet the needs of our school **Person:** Kasee Lambring
Jul 31, 2014: Develop a rubric and model lesson **Person:** Kasee Lambring
Aug 6, 2014: Develop a survey to determine the best time for professional development **Person:** Karen Ault
Aug 6, 2014: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Sep 3, 2014: Develop a professional development agenda for "What is a Rigorous Classroom?" as a task force team. **Person:** Corey Lanier
Sep 3, 2014: Explain how the activities in the strategy will be incorporated into teacher evaluations **Person:** Greg Walker
Sep 3, 2014: Hold staff meeting to share rubric and model lesson with faculty **Person:** Greg Walker
Sep 3, 2014: Share a model rigorous lesson and rubric with staff **Person:** Kasee Lambring
Sep 3, 2014: What is a Rigorous Classroom? professional development **Person:** Karen Ault
Sep 6, 2014: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Sep 10, 2014: "What is a Rigorous Classroom?" professional development during team meetings **Person:** Greg Walker
Sep 10, 2014: Presentations, workshops, in service, and lesson building **Person:** Karen Ault
Sep 17, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Sep 24, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Oct 1, 2014: Plan additional professional development activities based on the needs of teachers **Person:** Marty Young
Oct 6, 2014: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Oct 8, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Oct 22, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Nov 6, 2014: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Nov 12, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Nov 19, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Nov 19, 2014: Teachers submit lesson plan and student documentation **Person:** Greg Walker
Nov 26, 2014: Modify rubric as needed **Person:** Corey Lanier
Nov 26, 2014: Task force committee meets to review results of submitted lesson plans and student documentation **Person:** Corey Lanier
Dec 5, 2014: Plan additional professional development based on need for task force meeting **Person:** Marty Young
Dec 6, 2014: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Dec 10, 2014: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Jan 6, 2015: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Jan 21, 2015: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Feb 6, 2015: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Feb 18, 2015: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Feb 20, 2015: Submit lesson plan and student documentation **Person:** Greg Walker
Mar 6, 2015: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Mar 18, 2015: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault
Apr 2, 2015: Critique the strategy procedures for upcoming year **Person:** Kasee Lambring
Apr 6, 2015: Offer release time for teachers to develop rigorous lessons with guidance from task force **Person:** Greg Walker
Apr 15, 2015: Professional development during team meetings on rigor in the classroom **Person:** Karen Ault

Professional Development Summary

Alignment with the School Improvement Plan: The Professional Development Summary is directly aligned with strategies found in the School Improvement Plan. Professional development activities enhance educator's knowledge within one or more of the following areas: a) expectations, b) curriculum content, c) classroom instruction, d) classroom assessment, e) extra help, f) guidance, and g) the learning environment. The amount of funding allocated for each professional development activity and the funding source is listed for each professional development activity.

Effective Learning Process: The Professional Development Summary was written by teacher-leaders and includes activities that will help teachers develop the knowledge and skills needed to successfully implement the strategies listed in the School Improvement Plan. The plan includes a variety of formats for professional development. Activities have been embedded in the school day as appropriate. The plan also includes activities designed to provide teachers with feedback over time. Finally, "strategy data" is collected for comprehensive strategies that identifies the degree to which the strategy has brought about a positive changes in adult practices.

Professional Growth Environment: Each strategy is supported by a "strategy chair." Strategy chairs are responsible for 1) writing (with support from colleagues) a strategy plan including on-going professional development activities, anti-resistance, and evaluation activities, 2) providing on-going mentoring to their colleagues in the implementation of the strategy, 3) analyzing the effectiveness of the strategy, and 4) making a recommendation to the faculty with respect to whether or not the strategy should be sustained.

Attendance

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Focused Academic Area - Literacy and Writing

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Focused Student Group

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Graduation Plan

No professional development is needed for this strategy.

Rigor Across the Curriculum

Presentations, workshops, in service, and lesson building

Brief Description: Teachers will have opportunities to attend in service during the day on various topics and/or offered worktime with guidance on creating rigorous lessons

Intended Participants: Teachers

Date: Sep 10, 2014

Activity Purpose: Information, Skill Building, Feedback/Support, Refinement

Activity Format: Presentation, Study Group, Peer Coaching

Funding: General fund -- substitutes

Does this activity occur during the school day? Yes

Share a model rigorous lesson and rubric with staff

Brief Description: Kasee Lambring is developing a model lesson and will present that to the staff with examples.

Intended Participants: Teachers

Date: Sep 3, 2014

Activity Purpose: Information

Activity Format: Presentation

Funding: no cost

Does this activity occur during the school day? No

What is a Rigorous Classroom? professional development

Brief Description: Karen Ault is researching what a rigorous curriculum includes, what a rigorous classroom looks like, etc. and will present the information in a staff meeting

Intended Participants: Teachers, Counselors, Administrators

Date: Sep 3, 2014

Activity Purpose: Information

Activity Format: Talk to, Presentation

Funding: no cost

Does this activity occur during the school day? No

Relationship Report:

Areas of Concern / Strategies / Achievement Goals

This report shows the relationship between the Areas of Concern, Strategies, and Achievement Goals. For each Area of Concern, the report shows 1) the strategies that will enable the school to successfully address the concern and 2) the achievement goals that will be positively impacted when the concern is successfully addressed.

We are concerned that... Encourage Rigorous Curriculum

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- % making all C's or better on Trimester 1 & 2 grades in the four tested ISTEP subjects: LA, Math, Science and Social Studies
- All Students -- % passing Writing Applications standard on LA ISTEP Tests (seat data)
- Special Needs students -- % passing LA Standard #1 on ISTEP+ (not IMAST) --READING: Word Recognition, Fluency, and Vocabulary Development

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Encourage Rigorous Curriculum
- Rigor Across the Curriculum

We are concerned that... Attendance

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing LA ISTEP Tests--AYP
- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing Math ISTEP Tests--AYP
- All Special Needs students -- passing LA ISTEP+ Test
- All Students -- % making all C's or better on Trimester 1 & 2 grades in the four tested ISTEP subjects: LA, Math, Science and Social Studies
- All Students -- % passing Writing Applications standard on LA ISTEP Tests (seat data)
- Special Needs students -- % passing LA Standard #1 on ISTEP+ (not IMAST) --READING: Word Recognition, Fluency, and Vocabulary Development

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Attendance

We are concerned that... Focused Academic Area

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing LA ISTEP Tests--AYP
- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing Math ISTEP Tests--AYP
- All Special Needs students -- passing LA ISTEP+ Test
- All Students -- % making all C's or better on Trimester 1 & 2 grades in the four tested ISTEP subjects: LA, Math, Science and Social Studies
- All Students -- % passing Writing Applications standard on LA ISTEP Tests (seat data)
- Special Needs students -- % passing LA Standard #1 on ISTEP+ (not IMAST) --READING: Word Recognition, Fluency, and Vocabulary Development

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Focused Academic Area - Literacy and Writing
- Focused Student Group

We are concerned that... Focused Student Group

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing LA ISTEP Tests--AYP
- All Free/Reduced Students -- % passing Math ISTEP Tests--AYP

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Focused Student Group

We are concerned that... Graduation Plan

Data Targets Influenced by This Concern:

- All Students -- % making all C's or better on Trimester 1 & 2 grades in the four tested ISTEP subjects: LA, Math, Science and Social Studies

Strategies to Impact This Concern:

- Graduation Plan

Force Field Excerpt

The Force Field reports are lengthy data reports that help the Full Faculty and Community Council (including parents) identify Areas of Concern (student needs). The Force Field excerpt found below contains information that must be included in the School Improvement Plan to meet various requirements of Public Law 221 and if applicable, Title I Schoolwide.

A. Where is the public copy of your school's curriculum located?	Main office at BCMS and Corporation Office
<p>B. What rules or statutes would you like to waive in order to promote student learning?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify the specific statute and and/or rule you wish to waive. ● Identify the educational strategies this waiver would allow you to implement that could not be implemented under current statute and rule. ● Explain the benefit to student achievement. ● Describe the evaluation process that would be used to measure the success of these strategies. 	none
C. Are your school's attendance rates supportive of learning? What activities will you implement next year to increase or maintain your attendance rate?	Yes, 96% attendance rate. We will continue to enforce our attendance policy.
D. List the titles of the assessment instruments that are used in addition to ISTEP+ / ECA and give a brief description of each.	Acuity - prediction

Continuous Improvement Timeline

DISCUSSION TOPIC	FACILITATOR TRAINING (Steering Teams)			FACULTY AND COMMUNITY COUNCIL DISCUSSIONS
	ORIGINAL PLAN	REVIEW & REVISE		
	1st Year Schools	2nd Year Schools	Alumni Schools	
Annual Update Meeting	n/a	n/a	Session AM - Sept	n/a
New Principal and Steering Team Member Training	n/a	n/a	Session PM - Sept	n/a
First Year: Rationale + Organizational Structure After First Year: Kick Off / Year End Data Review (Transcripts / ISTEP / ECA)	Session 1 - Aug	Session 1 - Aug	Aug Webinar	Sept
Vision	Session 2 – Sept	n/a	Sept Webinar	Oct*
Data Targets	Session 3 - Oct	Session 1 - Aug	Oct Webinar	Nov
Areas of Concern	Session 4 - Dec	n/a	Nov Webinar	Jan*
Conference on Learning	Jan	Jan	Jan	n/a
Strategy Selection	Session 5 - Jan	Session 2 - Jan	Jan Webinar	Feb
Strategy Title & Description	Session 5 - Jan	Session 2 - Jan	Feb Webinar	Mar
Strategy Implementation Plans	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Mar Strategy Chair Training	Mar Webinar	Apr

* Our school will complete these tasks every three years
We are next scheduled to complete these tasks during the 2016-2017 school year